1	SILVER STATE HEALTH INSURANCE EXCHANGE
2	BOARD MEETING
3	TUESDAY, JUNE 18, 2024
4	
5	-000-
6	
7	T. DAVIS: Madam Chair?
8	JAMESON: Hello. How are we doing on our quorum?
9	T. DAVIS: We do have a quorum now. There are four voting
10	members that have joined us.
11	JAMESON: Oh, this is wonderful. All right. And, uh, it's just
12	1:31 and just turned into 1:32. We are right on track. I will go ahead then
13	and call the, uh, meeting to order and, uh, welcome everybody and go
14	ahead and ask you to take roll call. Thank you.
15	COOK: Thank you, Dr. Jameson. Russell Cook for the record. Dr.
16	Florence Jameson?
17	JAMESON: Yes. Here. Present.
18	COOK: Yes. Present. Ms. Valerie Clark?
19	CLARK: Present.
20	COOK: All right. Ms. Lavonne Lewis? I will mark Ms. Lavonne
21	Lewis as absent for now. Dr. Sarah Friedman? I will mark Dr. Friedman as
22	absent for now. Mr. Jonathan Johnson?
23	JOHNSON: Present.
24	COOK: Ms. Amber Torres? I will be marking Ms. Torres absent
25	for now. Mr. Quincy Branch?

1 BRANCH: Present. 2 COOK: I will now proceed with roll call for our ex-officio members. Ms. Stacie Weeks? 3 WEEKS: Present. 4 5 COOK: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner Scott Kipper? KIPPER: Here. 6 COOK: Thank you. And Ms. Jenny Helton? 7 HELTON: Sure. 8 9 COOK: Wonderful. Madam Chair, roll call is complete with four 10 voting members present. JAMESON: Excellent. Well, we'll just get on with business then. 11 Uh, I would like to first ask, uh, for you to request if there's anyone who 12 13 would like to have public comment. 14 DAVIS: Madam Chair, Tiffany Davis for the record. I would like 15 to be able to assist you with the public comment since there's been some 16 added language that we need to use in, um, doing this. So, uh, for public 17 comment, I would like to remind those who have joined us online that if you would like to make a public comment, if you could please raise your 18 electronic hand feature or please indicate in the chat box that you would 19 like to make a public comment. And then Kaitlyn will go ahead and call on 20 21 you and let you know when you may unmute yourself, uh, when it's time. 22 And then also for those who have called into the meeting, if anyone has 23 called via the telephone, if you would, um -- we'll let you know when you 24 may unmute yourself to make your public comment. But to get us started,

I'd like to start and ask Kassie, who is in our Carson City conference room,

1 is there anyone in our co-conference room that has public comment to 2 make, Kassie? 3 FUENTES: Kassie Fuentes For the record, there are no public comments here in the Carson City office. Thank you. 4 DAVIS: Thank you, Kassie, for confirming that. And then online, 5 6 if any attendees, once again would like to provide public comment, you may at this time raise your electronic hand feature and Kaitlyn will call 7 your name so to, uh, allow you to unmute yourself and make your public 8 9 comment. Do we have any public comments on our Zoom feature? And 10 Kaitlyn, if you could please confirm for me if you see any hands. 11 BLAGEN: Hi, Tiffany. Kaitlyn Blagen for the record. I do not see anybody's hands raised or -- or anything in the chat. 12 13 DAVIS: Okay. Thank you so much for confirming that. And then 14 if you've called in on our phone line, if, uh, anyone calling in would like to 15 go ahead and unmute yourself at this time and provide co -- public 16 comments. And maybe Kaitlyn, you can verify if we do have anybody on the 17 phone -- telephone as well. BLAGEN: Kaitlyn Blagen for the record. I do not see any hands 18 19 raised for the telephone. 20 DAVIS: Okay. And not hearing anything as well. Again, just one 21 more opportunity if anyone has any public comment if you'd like to go 22 ahead and unmute yourself at this time and provide that. Okay. Not 23 hearing any, uh, Madam Chair, there are no public comments at this time. 24 JAMESON: Thank you. Okay. Um, Florence Jameson, and we are

moving on to approval of the minutes of the February 20, 2024 board

meeting. Did, um -- having reviewed them, I did not see any, uh,
corrections, errors of remission, or, um, concerns. And, uh, have anybody
had any concerns about them? So, I would entertain a motion, uh, to pass
the minutes from, uh, Tuesday, February 20, 2024.

JOHNSON: Jonathan Johnson, motion to approve the minutes

JOHNSON: Jonathan Johnson, motion to approve the minutes from February 20, 2024.

CLARK: Valerie Clark, second.

JAMESON: And before we do the vote, uh, were there -- was there any changes that anyone wanted to make or any -- any discussion of the minutes? Hearing none, we'll go ahead and take a vote. Everybody in favor can say aye.

MULTIPLE: Aye.

JAMESON: Any -- everyone -- anyone opposed? Anyone abstaining? It sounds like those minutes are unanimously passed. And now we get to one of my very favorite parts of the meeting, which is our Executive Director Report. And I do wanna compliment you on your report. They just become more and more organized, uh, allowing all of us to follow on each of the critical -- each of the issues so very well. So, I will go ahead and, uh, ask our CEO Russell Cook to present his Executive Report.

COOK: Thank you, Dr. Jameson. Russell Cook for the record. I did want to remark before I read my report that I was just notified that Ms. Amber Torres has joined us. So, unless there are any objections, uh, from the Board members or our DAG, I will be marking her present for this meeting.

TORRES: Thank you.

1 LEWIS: Hey, Russell, can I just say one thing? It's -- you're --2 you're very hard to hear. Um, is anyone else having trouble hearing you? Is 3 it -- maybe it's me. JAMESON: No trouble on my end. 4 5 LEWIS: Okay. Maybe it's on my end. 6 COOK: I'll try and speak, uh, as clearly as I can, and I'll try to direct my voice to the microphone. Does that seem better, Ms. Clark? 7 LEWIS: Much better, yeah. Thank you. 8 9 COOK: Okay, great. I will, uh -- I will project. All right. Uh, in 10 the interest of time, I'm going to skip over the purpose, which is 11 unchanged, uh, it's always the same, and the table of contents. And I'll jump right into the general comments. The four-month interim -- oh, and 12 13 before I begin, I just wanted to remind the Board about the new format for this report. We will be pausing after each section for, uh, questions, 14 15 comments, and discussion from the Board. So, if you have any questions, 16 uh, please hold them until the end of the respective section and I will pause, uh, to entertain those at the end of each section. The four-month 17 interim since the February Board meeting has provided an important 18 opportunity for reflection. I've spent a significant amount of time 19 reviewing past board meeting agendas and recordings from the pre-20 21 pandemic era up through the past year. And one conclusion seems evident.

In recent years, the Exchange's Board of Directors has not been included in the day-to-day operational decisions of the agency to the same extent that

22

23

24

they were previously. Certainly, the shift can be attributed, at least in

25 part, to the overall maturation and stabilization of the Exchange as a state-

based marketplace. It's also apparent that the change from monthly board
meetings to quarterly board meetings had an impact on the scope and
impact of the Board at a as a decision-making body. But it's my belief
that, even in light of the quarterly meeting schedule, the Board can and
should be kept more proactively apprised of current and future Exchange
activities. Having been faced with no shortage of urgent, high impact
decisions since assuming the Executive Director position last August, many
of which have boiled down to choosing the least bad option, I can say with
frank honesty that I would welcome a degree of shared accountability. One
example, which I think illustrates this point particularly well, involves a
vision carrier who approached the Exchange last year seeking a
partnership. To provide the appropriate background context, the Exchange,
like all ACA marketplaces, does not sell vision plans through the Nevada
Health Link website. We have, however, maintained a relationship with a
vision carrier called VSP Vision Plans for several years, which involves
endorsing them as a trusted partner alongside the various health and
dental carriers listed on our website. VSP pays an annual fee to the
Exchange for this endorsement. I have to admit that when I first learned
about the nature of this relationship, I felt some ethical reservations about
the Exchange endorsing the services of a carrier over whom we have no
regulatory purview and whose operations, including their customer service
activities, are opaque to us. This type of relationship is not unusual,
however. Most state-based marketplaces have a similar relationship in
place with one or more vision carriers. And at any rate, I had no intention
of trying to modify an existing contractual relationship. But these concerns

were top of mind when reviewing the more recent request from the new
vision carrier last fall, who was seeking a similar arrangement. I was
especially sensitive to the idea of an endorsement because the Exchange
had recently dealt with the fallout from Friday Health Plans' court-ordered
exit from the marketplace, which resulted in thousands of Nevada Health
Link enrollees losing their existing coverage. The Exchange was criticized in
the media for having endorsed Friday Health Plans as among the seven
Nevada Health Insurance Providers offering the best health plans in the
state. And we were criticized again for removing their logo from our
website after Friday was de-certified, omitting any mention of the insurer
that the Exchange had previously praised. So, we approached the proposal
from the new vision carrier with a fair degree of caution. Our first priority
was to assess the performance of this carrier by reviewing customer
feedback. During a phone meeting last fall, their director indicated to us
that they receive only a few consumer complaints each year, and those
complaints are generally resolved quickly. However, when we looked this
carrier up on the Better Business Bureau's website, we found many dozens
of consumer complaints from 2023 alone, the vast majority of which had
not been resolved. One common complaint was that consumers were
consistently unable to reach a live agent in their call center. Several of our
staff members, including myself, attempted to contact their call center on
multiple occasions over a one-week timeframe using several different
phone numbers obtained from the websites of other state Exchanges who
partnered with this particular carrier. We were unable to reach even a
single agent. The results of this investigation convinced the Exchange's

1	administrative staff that a partnership with this carrier would not be in the
2	best interest of our consumers, and that the public reputation of this
3	carrier presented a risk to the Exchange's status as a trusted source of
4	high-quality insurance coverage. We notified the carrier of our decision,
5	and we invited them to attend our February 2024 board meeting if they
6	wished to address the Board directly. We also mentioned that if the carrier
7	was able to make notable progress in resolving their apparent customer
8	service deficiencies, that we would be willing to reconsider our decision.
9	They did not provide comment at the February meeting, and we've had no
10	correspondence with this carrier since then. I have no reservations about
11	the due diligence that we conducted or the decision that we reached. But
12	today, with the clarity of hindsight, I believe it was a misstep not to
13	present this issue to the Board for a vote. With that in mind, I'm resolved,
14	moving forward, to improve the inclusion of the Board as a decision-
15	making stakeholder. This is the spirit which informs the remainder of my
16	Executive Director's Report, starting with an overview of the operational
17	milestones that the Exchange must accomplish before the October board
18	meeting. I will now pause for any questions or comments from the Board.
19	Commissioner Kipper?
20	KIPPER: Uh, couple questions on, uh on the issue around, uh,
21	the other well about VSP and the, uh, endorsement. Uh, the the report
22	mentioned that, uh, receive a fee or an endorsement fee is
23	COOK: That is correct. An annual fee, yes.
24	KIPPER: And and how mu how much would that fee be?

COOK: Uh, I-I-I don't know off the top of my head what the VSP

_	ree is. I have not reviewed that contract recently. My reconcetion of the
2	proposal from the newer insurance carrier that approached us last fall was
3	that the fee would be on the order of \$5,000 per year.
4	KIPPER: And is the endorsement based on, uh, a level of, uh,
5	any quality measures or is this just, uh, a pay to play type of program?
6	COOK: That's an excellent question, and I cannot speak on the
7	historical, uh, nature of the — the agreement with VSP. Uh, but the
8	questions you raised were were were central to, um, our consideration
9	of of the new proposal last fall. Um, and and in fact, our reservations
LO	along those lines contributed, uh, to our decision, uh, not to enter into a
l1	partnership with this, uh with this insurance bank, the the lack of
12	insight and and and the lack of, uh the lack of accountability, uh,
13	specifically.
L4	KIPPER: All right. Thank you.
15	COOK: You're very welcome.
16	JAMESON: Were there any other, uh, questions or comments
L7	from the other members?
18	CLARK: Uh, Madam Chair, I had a question. I just so is the
19	vision the VSP arrangement, when did that start?
20	COOK: Uh, my understanding is that that started either in plan
21	year 2020 or plan year 2021. But again, I apologize, I-I have not reviewed
22	the contract. Uh, uh, I would be more than happy to provide a follow-up
23	email to the Board, um, with both the, uh, begin date and the expiration
24	date of the contractual agreement, uh, as well as the, uh the specific

dollar amount for the year desired.

CLARK: Okay. And then, just to be clear, it was the VSP that approached the Exchange to create this relationship. You -- it wasn't that you circulated like maybe an RFP to all the vision carriers, uh, soliciting, uh, uh, information and advising them of a potential relationship. And also, I have the same question about dental plans as well.

COOK: Uh, so it's my understanding that there was never a solicitation involved, uh, or, you know, with -- with the insurance carrier partnerships. And again, I-I was not involved with the sort of brokering or negotiation of the deal with VSP. Um, I only became aware of the nature of that, uh, agreement after I assumed the Executive Director position last August. Um, but as far as the, uh -- the -- the newer vision carrier who approached us, there was no solicitation at all. I can confirm that. Um, they did approach us of -- of, you know -- voluntarily of their own volition, um, and, uh, worked, uh, with the Exchange leadership. I believe we were first approached, uh, in the, uh, late spring of, uh, 2023. Uh, but the Exchange was absent an Executive Director from May until my appointment in August, um, so I could have picked up the project with that.

CLARK: Okay. And then we don't -- we don't have any relationships, um, where they pay, uh, on the dental side, do we?

COOK: No. Uh, well, to be clear, every single insurance carrier, both health and dental, who sells plans through Nevada Health Link Marketplace receives, uh, a monthly invoice, uh, for what's, uh, called our carrier premium fee. That's the sole source of the Exchange's operating revenue. Um, so they do pay, uh, a-a premium fee, um, for, you know -- for -- for the opportunity to do business on the Nevada Health Link

Marketplace. But I would not characterize that necessarily as -- as a pay for play type of an operation, or at least not a paid endorsement. Um, you know, there -- there's no, uh -- as far as -- as endorsements are concerned, all, uh, health and dental carriers are on equal footing, uh, you know, through the Nevada -- I hope that answers the question though, if I missed some --

CLARK: Yeah. I'm just trying to get in my head, how do we -how do we -- what's the process for choosing a carrier? And medical, I
understand, is probably different because we're more limited in medical
carriers, but there are a significant number of dental and vision carriers on
the marketplace. So I was just trying to understand how you choose the
ones that you put on the website. That's all.

COOK: That's an excellent question. And -- and I'm going to -- I'm going to speak to the areas of this process that -- that -- that I feel comfortable that -- that my expertise is appropriate. Um, you know, I may have to defer to our Plan Certification Manager. Certainly, the Division of Insurance plays a central role, uh, in this process. But it all begins when insurance carriers who wish to enter the marketplace submit a form called an Intent to Sell. Typically, that form is circulated, uh, in February and March of each year, and -- and I believe the deadline for submission is roughly the end of March of each year.

CLARK: Okay.

COOK: So, insurance carriers who are not currently selling health or dental plans to the Nevada Health Link Marketplace, but wish to begin doing so, um, that's how they start the process. There is, uh, a DOI

review, uh, component, and then of course the Exchange, uh, has a-a kind of a stringent process for reviewing, uh, kind of, the minimum qualifications of the insurance carrier. Um, they must meet minimum financial qualifications. The plans they sell are subject to, uh, you know, uh, uh, plan design, uh, benefit standards, network adequacy standards. And then the insurance carrier organizations themselves must complete a robust, uh, electronic testing and onboarding process, so they must be able to interface with the Exchange system. They must be able to perform enrollment reconciliation on a monthly basis. And, um, you know, they must complete, uh, a-a comprehensive three month long, uh, suite of test scenarios designed to emulate real world, uh, types of -- of operating issues. So again, hope that answers the question, happy to provide more detail if not.

CLARK: Yeah. No, it does. And I'm -- and it's actually very timely that we would be talking about, um, bringing the Board, uh, more proactively into some of these things, because actually I've been on this Board since 2015 and I never really understood how that was done. So, it'll be nice to maybe have some of these things brought to our attention, um, more proactively so we can, you know, just be aware. Thank you.

COOK: I appreciate the feedback. You're very welcome. And that is precisely the intent of the next, uh, section of the report, which is a four month look at four months, because that's the interim between this board meeting and the next board meeting. It'll be a two month look ahead in October, that sort of thing. But, uh, you know, it, it really was a-a-a goal of mine, uh, to provide a forward looking, uh, and inclusive update, uh, so

that the Board was aware of events before they actually happened so we're not just providing retrospective updates.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JAMESON: And Russell, just before you go on, uh, another brief, uh, request. I do believe you did an excellent job of vetting out this, um, candidate to be, uh, on our website for endorsement. And since, uh, as Valerie pointed out, some of these things were done in a different way prior to you coming on, and we really understand a little bit, and now even more about the process for actually carriers. This other issue where someone just wants an endorsement, we probably should have just as a rigorous process written out that we go through for anyone we do endorsements for. So that would be wonderful if in -- you can consider before the next board meeting, number one, to develop a process for vetting anybody who might be endorsed and, uh, bring that back to discuss with our Board. And number two, this other person, we, uh, are all assuming, uh, that they were vetted out properly, but we really don't know that. So since none of us seem to be familiar with, uh, the -- the people that are currently on for visual referral, perhaps it would be a good idea for you just to do a little retrospective, um, review, uh, with them and see, uh, if they would follow the -- if they would qualify under the new process to be on -- uh, endorsed by the Board, uh -- by the Board, uh, as well to be on the website and for our clients. So, um, I would really appreciate that. And would the rest of the Board like to see that? Okay. It sounds good.

DAVIS: Madam Chair, my apologies for the interruption. I just had seen, uh, saw it earlier that Stacie Weeks had her hand up, so I just wanna make sure to see if she's <crosstalk>.

1	JAMESON: On, I couldn't see that. My apologies, Stacle. Please
2	Stacie.
3	COOK: Me me too.
4	JAMESON: Okay. Who's doing the hand watching 'cause I only
5	see four hands on my field, so.
6	COOK: I apologize, I'm not seeing any, but, uh, Ms. Weeks, I'd
7	be happy to, uh to to, uh, uh, hear
8	WEEKS: <inaudible> my question. So I-I appreciate like I'm,</inaudible>
9	you know, been in my role two years. I get you don't <inaudible> but I</inaudible>
10	would assume someone on your staff can share with the Board exactly
11	<inaudible> like this is in addition to being certified on the Exchange. It's a</inaudible>
12	pers it's sort of a
13	DAVIS: Stacie, you're in and out kind of breaking in and out.
14	WEEKS: I know. So just read my post and that will do it.
15	JAMESON: Thank you. Thank you so much, Stacie. I agree.
16	JOHNSON: My my question I understand with medical and
17	dental plans, there's a specific certification criteria process that they have
18	to go through to be listed on, uh on Nevada HealthLink. Um, but when it
19	comes to vision plans or anything else that may come up in the future and
20	these endorsements that are solicited, um, first question is, do we have
21	any other arrangements like that outside of medical and dental that's run
22	through that standard process on the Exchange that that you're aware
23	of?
24	COOK: Well, to be clear, I-I wouldn't say that we have kinda a
25	 standardized review process per per Dr. Jameson's suggestion a moment

1	ago. And I-I really wish we had one last fall, um, because we kind of had to
2	make it up as we went along. Um, I do think that this would be an excellent
3	candidate for a written policy and procedure that we could publish on our
4	website, um, so that we have something, you know, in the way of
5	objective, you know, criteria. Um, and and and I would welcome
6	involvement from the Board to to make the final, you know to be the
7	final arbiters of of of who receives, uh, you know, the
8	endorsements for for Nevada Health. But if I'm understanding your
9	question correctly, um, I'm not aware of any other, uh, entity, insurance
10	carrier or otherwise, besides VSP Vision care, um, who currently maintains
11	an endorsement from the Exchange. And and as I mentioned earlier, but I
12	just want to clarify, uh, we do not endorse, per se, any specific insurance
13	carriers that offer health or dental plans to the Nevada Health Link
14	website. We do in kind of implicitly, uh, endorse them in so far as they,
15	you know they're not allowed to sell plans that don't, uh you know,
16	that that don't meet the standards of our of our rigorous plan
17	certification process, which is conducted in
18	JOHNSON: But to that to that point, right, vision isn't one of
19	those that have to meet a particular measure or definition or standard,
20	right? And so, when it comes to some of these things that are out that
21	are outside the purview of the Exchange, and its intent, what is the
22	 objective what is our our role? And that that's that's really where

24 COOK: Yeah.

23

25

the -- the question comes in. And --

JOHNSON: And -- and then just given this recent experience,

are there any other, uh, arrangements, uh, in place that we need to be aware of?

JAMESON: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COOK: Nothing comes to -- sorry, I didn't mean to cut you off, Dr. Jameson. Did you have a comment?

JAMESON: No, I was gonna say, uh, very, uh, uh, understood. So, I'm looking forward to your feedback. I just had one last comment on your, um -- on the first subject, which was, I really appreciate, uh, you recognizing and using this example. And, uh, even as I reflect back, the couple examples you used, the one in writing and the one -- the one you talked about earlier, um, um, with, uh -- you mentioned Friday, going through that almost on your own without much -- enough feedback from us perhaps. And we could perhaps have helped you feel more confident that you weren't going to take any missteps through that. So, there were a couple of good things. You know, when we first started, uh, many of us remember, 10 years ago, that all of us were working board members, that we were a part of committees, and that's how entities start. And then eventually, when we hire incredibly competent people such as yourself and everyone on this conference call right now, the pendulum swings the other way. And we try not to be a micromanaging Board. We try to have faith and confidence. And now that the pendulum was, you know, swung where we used to be a working board, and then it swung all the way other way, your suggestion of finding that middle ground is beautiful. And I compliment you and appreciate and thank you for inviting us. You may get a lot more input than you desired. So, thank you so much,

T. DAVIS: Dr. Jameson,

COOK: More than what we wish for, right? I'm sorry, Tiffany. Go ahead.

T. DAVIS: I apologize for continuing to interrupt. Um, with both of your permissions, if you wouldn't mind, I do -- I wouldn't mind, um, tracking the hands -- electronic hands for when board members make comments that they do raise 'em. And I would just like to read Stacie Weeks' since it's the appropriate time to do so. Her comment that she put into the chat for anybody, um, who may have called in and is not able to see that so that they know what all comments have been made. So, she wrote in the chat, "I appreciate that you do not have the information, but can we work with your team to share with the Board on what criteria you used to use. That would be helpful. Also, are there any federal requirements that apply to what we should be using to guide the Silver State Health Insurance Exchange endorsement?" So just wanna make sure that was clear for all -- all attending to here.

JAMESON: Thank you. That may help us from having to recreate the wheel if there's already some federal guidelines. Thank you, Stacie.

Russell, I think we're gonna let you go on to the next paragraph.

COOK: If I may, if -- if -- if it pleases the Board, I-I wanted to acknowledge, uh, what I think is an excellent point that Ms. Weeks made, which -- which in my mind connects directly to -- to a question that -- that -- the question that Mr. Johnson raised. So, I just wanna kind of -- kind of close the loop on that one. We looked into federal requirements last fall, and we were unable to -- in fact, we reached out to CMS for guidance. And

we are not aware, based upon that work, of any federal guidelines that
apply to these types of of endorsement, you know, types of deals. Um, in
terms of, you know, what kind of structure we can impose potentially
around those types of arrangements, these are organizations, you know,
again, for which the Exchange itself has no regulatory purview. Uh, the
Division of Insurance certainly has their their their you know, their
purview. Uh, but, uh, you know, in particular for us, we would be
concerned about, uh, customer service levels, um, and having some insight
into consumer complaints, you know, that that sort of thing. Um, that's
what I meant when I used the word opaque or opacity, uh, in my uh, in
my report, is that we just don't have that level of insight. So one
possibility that had occurred to me, you know, kind of in the tail end of
of our process last fall was that, you know, if if we could develop a
policy and if that policy if one of the minimum requirements for
endorsement, aside from meeting certain criteria about, you know, current
existing performance, existing customer service levels, that sort of thing
but if we could require perhaps a quarterly report or maybe even a-a semi-
annual report from these carriers in terms of consumer complaints, uh, you
know, performance metrics essentiall, y key performance indicators that
could be utilized to track their performance and then share that
information as part of our Board reports, um, I think that might be a really
good start in terms of a structured approach to these partnerships. Clearly
and I-I think every state-based Exchange out there that maintains such a
partnership, um, will tell you that there are benefits to these partnerships.
Um, what I am, uh, primarily focused on and and and what was the

primary focus of our -- our decision-making process last fall was risk management. So I think somewhere between the risk management versus, you know, providing additional options to our -- our consumers, there's certainly work that the Exchange can do to vet these insurance carriers. But establishing the objective criteria by which we're going to -- to -- to vet, uh, you know, their -- their -- their services, um, is, uh, I think, uh, also a process that the Board should be included in. So, um, just to kind of summarize, Dr. Jameson, um, it sounds like there's an appetite for the Exchange to present, uh, either at the October board meeting or perhaps before then, uh, a plan for, uh, perhaps a draft of a policy, um, that would apply to -- to endorsements for -- for non-health and -- and dental carriers. Uh, I-I would say, you know, it could be vision carriers in particular, but, you know, um, uh, by -- by saying non-health and non-dental carriers maybe wouldn't constrain ourselves quite as much, be a little more flexible. Does that sound an agreeable proposal?

JAMESON: Yes. And I think what you mentioned, uh, about having some accountability in there is excellent as -- as all of us reviewed for the day's meeting the semi-annual fiscal and operational report. And one of the things we look at and focus on is those calls and how they're managed and responded to. I think that it's kind of like when you drive through a lot of establishments, and if you have any problems, be sure to call this number, that when we post these on the website, there should be something similar in a pathway. You said it, I think, uh, a good plan and we can -- we can move on.

COOK: Okay, wonderful. I do see a hand raised from Radhika

Kunnel, our DAG. Um, please -- please go ahead, Radhika.

KUNNEL: Hey, um, and the interest of staying on top of topic and, uh, staying within the noticed agenda, I just wanted to send a friendly nudge to keep the conversations and topics to what's been agendized. I know the ED report is on the agenda, but the notice is only provided to the headline. So as long as we stay within the purview of what's noticed, we, uh -- we align ourselves with the OM law. I'm not indicating that any of the previous topics were out of scope, but I felt like there were certain areas that were beyond the scope of, uh, what's been noticed.

COOK: Well, I always appreciate --

KUNNEL: So, just a friendly reminder. Thank you very much.

JAMESON: Thank you.

COOK: I look forward to, uh -- to updating the -- the -- the Board on our plans in the interim, uh, uh, prior to the October meeting.

JAMESON: Thank you.

COOK: All right. The next section is the four-month look ahead. Historically, the bulk of the updates that Exchange staff have presented to the Board have been retrospective in nature. As part of my goal to better include the Board in the day-to-day operations of the Exchange, though, I'm establishing a look ahead section of the Executive Director's Report to highlight significant activities occurring in the interim between today's meeting and the October board meeting. From my perspective, as someone who's worked for the Exchange since 2016, I don't recall a busier or more critical four-month period. The first milestone is RFPs. The Exchange is planning on releasing two RFPs, or Requests for Proposals, during the

upcoming interim. The first relates to a CMS requirement for state Exchanges to engage the services of a third-party information security consultant to perform an Independent Security Assessment, or ISA. Conducting an ISA is a tri-annual requirement, and this will be the Exchange's third ISA since moving away from the healthcare.gov platform. We hope to release this RFP in early August with at least a six-week response window. The contract period for ISA services will run from January 2025 through July of 2025. The second RFP will be a two-part solicitation for technology platform and call center services due to our existing contract which will be shortly expiring at the end of plan year 2025. This RFP will be patterned after the original RFP issued in 2018 and will allow vendors to provide a response for technology platform services alone, call center services alone, or both services in a single proposal. We hope to release this RFP in late August with at least a two-month response window. In the event of a vendor change for either the call center or the technology platform, a significant amount of time and resources will be required to navigate the transition, which is why the Exchange is building a substantial runway into the project timeline. The next milestone is plan certification. The Exchange's annual plan certification process is underway and will continue through the end of September. This process, which is conducted in collaboration with the Division of Insurance, ensures that all qualified health and dental plans sold through the Nevada Health Link marketplace meet the minimum requirements of ACA regulations. These requirements include minimum standards for benefit design, prescription drug coverage, actuarial value, and provider network adequacy. At present,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the Exchange's plan certification responsibilities are handled by a single Plan Certification Manager. The next milestone is our biennial budget. The Exchange's finance team has already begun preparing our biennial budget in preparation for the 2025 legislative session. This budget, which includes all operational, personnel, and vendor expenses for fiscal years 26 -- uh, 2026, rather, and 2027, must be submitted at the end of August. We are planning on requesting two new positions in the upcoming budget. One will be a support position for our Plan Certification Manager in order to accommodate the anticipated increase in the number of insurance carriers selling plans on the Exchange following implementation of Nevada's Market Stabilization Program. The other will be a dedicated full-time position for a Tribal Liaison in order to deepen our commitment to Nevada's 28 federally recognized tribes and ensure the enduring success of the Exchange's Tribal Partnership Program. The Exchange welcomes any comments or feedback from the Board regarding these proposed positions.

JAMESON: I would like to commend you, again, on the work you've done with the tribes. Uh, I just was at the MA conference and, um, many of the other states that have Exchanges and have, uh -- also, uh, tribes that may not have the best access to healthcare are very curious about this process, so you may hear from some of them.

COOK: We -- we have, uh -- we have, uh, been -- been very honored to receive inquiries from a number of state-based marketplaces thus far. And, uh, the meetings have been very productive. We've learned a lot about, uh, approaches they've considered just as we've been able to share some information. So, I appreciate the comment and -- and, uh --

JAMESON: Thank you. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COOK: I-I-I'm realizing now I could have worded, uh, the -- the last sentence of the last section a little bit better, um, in terms of, uh, feedback from the Board. I will, of course, pause at the end of this section, <inaudible> uh, look ahead, uh, for any comments or questions. So, didn't -- didn't mean to, uh -- to confuse the issue there, but -- but appreciate the recognition Dr. Jameson. Thank you. Uh, the next milestone, uh, is annual policy updates. This year's -- okay, yeah. I just wanna make sure I didn't skip something. My apologies. This year's annual Notice of Benefit and Payment Parameters, or NBPP, from CMS included several dozen rule changes that will require corresponding updates to the Exchange's policy manual. Many of these changes will also require changes to the design and behavior of the Nevada Health Link website platform. These changes are currently being coordinated by the Exchange's policy team with the goal of circulating a draft release of the policy manual to stakeholders in August, followed by the release of the final version in September. Details regarding the rule changes have been omitted here for brevity, but if desired, the Exchange can provide a follow-up email to board members with additional information. The next milestone relates to our fall marketing campaign. The Exchange's communications team and marketing vendor, the Abbi Agency, will be working throughout the summer to develop our fall marketing campaign. Details of the campaign itself are provided in the Abbi Agency's marketing and outreach presentation, so I won't repeat them here, but I did wanna highlight the significance of the recent focus groups they conducted. My biggest takeaway from the feedback gathered was that

1 the Exchange should consider simplifying its approach to brand awareness 2 with a focus on defining exactly what the Nevada Health Link Marketplace 3 is and how the marketplace is different from other outlets for purchasing 4 insurance coverage. The next milestone relates to federal privacy and security. 5 6 I just have a question on this. COOK: Yes. 7 JAMESON: Um, since they -- they have been doing an 8 9 outstanding job, and you're right, I like them focusing on simpler. I agree. 10 It's all beautiful. I just wondered -- we just talked about a couple RFPs and I remember when we had an RFP, uh, for our marketing campaign --11 marketing, uh, companies. And I just wondered how long our contract with, 12 uh -- with the -- with them right now is. When does that end? Will there be 13 14 an RFP out soon, or are we good with this with them for a while? 15 COOK: Uh, forgive me, Dr. Jameson. I-I know our -- our, uh -- I 16 believe we're within the final year of our contract. If -- if it's okay with the 17 Board and if it's okay with -- with Radhika, uh, I wanted to ask if there's anyone else, uh, on the -- the, uh, line here, either Janel Davis, our 18 Operations Manager, or perhaps Kaitlyn Blagen, who's filling in for Katie 19 Charleston, our Communications Manager, who can confirm the answer to 20 21 that question, uh, regarding the expiration of -- of the, uh -- the -- the

JAMESON: I was just curious, because they've been doing such a good job, if it was gonna come up next -- next meeting. But that's okay, though. We can move on. It's fine.

22

23

24

25

Abbi Agency's existing contract.

23

24

25

COOK: I-I would be more than happy, if it's okay with you,
Radhika, to provide, uh, an email update after the fact, and I'll -- I'll make
a note right now, uh, to do so. Does that sound okay, Radhika?

KUNNEL: Yeah. And also, um, that is an agenda item at a later time, right? Um, maybe that's a question for the agenda item.

JAMESON: Yes. 4 -- Oh, 5. Yeah,

COOK: That's an excellent point.

KUNNEL: Unless the Chair decides to deviate from it, go out of the order and goes in and out, um -- out of the order. Okay. Thank you.

COOK: Wonderful. Thank you again, Radhika for the, uh -- for the -- for the -- the, uh -- the assistance. Okay. So, I will move on then to, uh, the next milestone, which is privacy -- uh, federal Privacy and security compliance. Each year, the Exchange is required to update and submit to CMS a substantial body of documentation demonstrating our compliance with federal privacy and security requirements. We're also required to update and submit to the IRS a comprehensive document known as the Safeguard Security Report in order to maintain authorization to use the IRS's Income and Family Size Verification, or IFSV service, a mandatory component of the Exchange's process for verifying subsidy eligibility. Max Borgman, the Exchanges Information Systems Manager, will be working closely with the <inaudible> Insured Information Security team in the coming months to ensure that all required documentation is updated and submitted by the applicable deadlines, which is July 31st for the IRS Safeguard Security Report and August 16th for the CMS documents. The next milestone relates to, uh, an -- uh, an ongoing LCB audit throughout

the summer and fall of 2023. The Exchange hosted a number of site visits	
for Nevada's Legislative Council Bureau, or LCB, who were conducting an	
onsite audit of the Exchange's operational privacy and security controls.	
On June 24th, the Exchange team will be meeting with the LCB team to	
accept delivery of their findings, which we anticipate to be relative to the	ž
number and low in severity. The Exchange will then develop a corrective	
action plan to address and resolve any remaining deficiencies. I wanted to)
take the opportunity to commend Max Borgman for his diligent work over	
the past nine months to preemptively resolve a number of LCB's	
preliminary findings, which will streamline the process of implementing th	ne
Exchange's remaining corrective action. On balance, the audit was a	
confidence building exercise, and we appreciate the opportunity to have	
collaborated with LCB's subject matter experts. The next milestone is an	
IRS audit. The Exchange recently received notification from the IRS that	
they will be conducting an onsite privacy and security audit during the	
second week of September. This will be the first such audit for the	
Exchange. And based on feedback from other states who completed simila	r
audits, we are anticipating a heavy lift, but we are also feeling well	
prepared thanks in large part to the recent operational improvements,	
which were prompted by the LCB audit. The next milestone relates to	
automatic voter registration. The Exchange was named as an Automatic	
Voter Registration Agency, an AB432, during the 2021 legislative session,	
which requires the submission of marketplace applicant data to the Nevac	l a
Secretary of State's office to facilitate the automated voter registration o	f
qualified individuals. AB 432 originally mandated an implementation	

date, uh, of -- of January 1, 2024. But AB192 from the 2023 legislative session extended the implementation deadline to January 1, 2025. The Exchange has met with the Secretary of State's office in recent months to confirm the technical requirements for implementation. At present, we believe that the Exchange will be able to meet those requirements with existing staff resources and expertise without a reliance on support from our technology vendor. We also believe that such an approach is in the best interest of the state. Our goal is to have a solution prototype in time for a more detailed presentation during the October board meeting. The last milestone of the format outlook involves our annual passive renewals job. Work on the annual passive renewals job begins in July with a complete staged run that allows the Exchange and technology vendor insured to identify eligibility or data quality issues, which could potentially be resolved prior to the October production run. The continued refinement of this process allowed the Exchange to achieve a renewal success rate of greater than 99% last fall, and we anticipate a similar result from this year's job. A new challenge that we will face this year involves CMS's introduction of a per transaction fee associated with Equifax's verified current income, or VCI service, which has been an integral part of the Exchange's eligibility verification workflow in the past. More details are provided in the Executive Summary section of the Fiscal and Operational Report, but the new expense posed by this change has resulted in a desire by the Exchange to find innovative solutions for reducing the overall use of the VCI service. I will now pause for any questions or comments from the Board.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

JAMESON: Is our -- do our Board members, uh, Ex-Officio members, or others have any questions regarding this at this point? So, I did see in the, uh, operating -- in the report, uh, as you say, more information on this subject. So, I -- although I will not be the Chair at that time, I will be looking to see, very curiously, what the cost per, um, client is going to run. And so, I-I-I didn't get any hint of it in the follow up in the operational report.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COOK: Since you asked, um, I'm happy to share Madam Chair. The per, uh, transactional or per household fee is approximately \$5, uh, for the next year being, uh -- beginning of July 1st. Uh, and then it will ramp up gradually, uh, through, uh -- through fiscal year -- federal fiscal year 2027, uh, to over \$9 per household. So, it is -- it is a non-trivial expense. Um, and this, uh -- a similar, uh, scenario is facing state Medicaid agencies as well. Um, so this is definitely a big operational change. Um, I did want to share, um, and -- and -- and this, you know, repeats a little bit of information on the fiscal and operational report. Um, but, uh, we had a very short timeline, uh, to prepare for this change, only a couple of months really. And, uh, the, the amount of -- of operational changes as well as the staffing changes that would be required in our call center, um, and I'll explain a little bit more about why that would be, uh, in the fiscal and operational report, um, would require more time than we had. So we made a calculated decision to continue utilizing the service, uh, from July 1st of this year through -- through, uh, June 30th of next year. And we're gonna be keeping very close tabs on the, uh -- the -- the -- essentially the benefit that this, uh -- that this investment, uh, is providing to us. Um, the -- the -

- the chief benefit that this, uh, service provides to our consumers is that if and when the IRS's, uh, IFSB service is unable to verify income for a given household, if we're able to, uh, verify it by using, uh, the VCI as a fallback service, then we prevent that household from being required to -to upload supporting documentation. Um, now, that's a mild, uh, bit of consumer abrasion, and, you know, we do see some attrition each year, uh, from households who, for whatever reason, either fail to or are unable to provide, uh, the required supporting documentation and they lose their subsidy eligibility. They might then become subject determination for nonpayment due to a past due balance. We're gonna be keeping very close tabs on that. Essentially, what we want to define is how much money are we spending for each household that is being spared the inconvenience of uploading that supporting documentation. We will then present that me, uh -- information to the Board. We should have, uh, a-a pretty good answer of -- of -- of what that number is by the February board meeting, having just completed the open enrollment period. So that -- that's, uh, in -- in a nutshell, that's, uh -- that's our plan for next year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JAMESON: And that is on our, uh, next board agenda, so thank you so much.

COOK: Absolutely, my pleasure. Um, so with the Board's permission, uh, this is Russell Cook again for the record, I will move on to the next section of the Executive Director's Update, which is an update on, uh, workshop approach to public comment solicitation. The Exchange recently conducted two public comment workshops to gather stakeholder feedback on our language access plan, or LAP. As will be mentioned into

the -- in the introduction to the LAP agenda item, both workshops were characterized by a lack of critical feedback. But the organization of the workshops themselves provided the exchange with a valuable opportunity to assess the effectiveness of this format for the solicitation of public comments, and in that regard, the workshops presented a great success. Both meetings proceeded smoothly and efficiently, and I'm especially grateful for the significant amount of time that Radhika Kunnel, the Exchange's Deputy Attorney General, devoted to preparing our staff and ensuring that both workshops were conducted in strict accordance with open meeting laws. I believe that the Exchange now possesses a valuable new tool for improving stakeholder communications. I will now pause for any questions or comments from the Board.

JAMESON: Yes, congratulations on a successful format. Maybe next time more than one to two comments will be made per meeting.

COOK: Well, as -- as I mentioned, uh, in the introduction to Language Access Plan, um, that was an encouraging sign. Uh, it was not, uh, perhaps the -- the robust, uh, dialogue that -- that we, uh -- that we were prepared for, um, but was a vote of confidence for the, uh -- the comprehensiveness of our -- our approach to serving our -- our limited English proficiency population. So -- so all in all, um, uh, uh, uh, I guess a win-win.

JAMESON: A success.

COOK: All right. Russell Cook for the record. I will now move on to the Market Stabilization Program. The implementation of Nevada's Market Stabilization Program, formerly known as the Public Option,

continues to proceed under the capable leadership of the administrative
team at the Division of Healthcare Financing and Policy, or DHCFP. In
February, I notified the Board of a lawsuit that was filed by Senator Robin
Titus in a nonprofit group called the National Taxpayers Union, seeking
declaratory and injunctive relief against the implementation of the Market
Stabilization Program. A few days later, I received notification that the
Attorney General's Office and the Legislative Council Bureau had each filed
motions to dismiss the lawsuit on February 23rd, citing concerns about its
procedural and jurisdictional basis. On May 21st, I received another update
indicating that the court has set a hearing on the motions to dismiss for
June 26th, after which I will be updated on the results of the hearing. We
will of course forward this update to the Board once it has been received.
In recent weeks, the Exchange has been working with the DHCFP team to
develop marketing and implementation strategies for the product. One
particular area of interest relates to the possibility of passively renewing
Nevada Health enrollees from their existing plans into the forthcoming
battle-borne state plans in October of next year. Existing federal
regulations require state-based marketplaces to passively renew
consumers into their existing plan if it is still available. However, other
states who've implemented public option programs have convinced CMS to
waive this requirement in the financial interest of impacting consumers.
Nevada is currently pursuing a similar strategy with its <inaudible></inaudible>
request. The next major project milestone will be the Managed Care
Organization, or MCORFP, which is anticipated to be released in
September. This year, MCO awardees will be required to offer battle-borne

1	I state plans for sale through the Nevada Health Link Marketplace. And the
2	Exchange anticipates that this process will result in several new insurance
3	carriers entering the marketplace for plan year 2026. The timing of the RFP
4	should allow the list of awardees to be finalized by approximately March of
5	2025, which should provide ample time for the Exchange to onboard these
6	new carriers and complete the required testing for electronic data
7	interchange and enrollment reconciliation functions. I will now pause for
8	questions or comments from the Board.
9	JAMESON: Does our does our Board have any comments? I
10	would say that this has an excellent example. I appreciate that our motive,
11	our very existence, our mission and vision, is about helping our Nevadans
12	have access to affordable quality healthcare. And so making it more
13	affordable to those people in the low economic tier is even more
14	important. And by having this automatic, uh getting a waiver to have this
15	happen automatically is is very good. But this is exactly one of those
16	kinds of things that would've been nice to bring back to the Board.
17	COOK: I-I-I'm sorry, for for for, uh, decision making, uh
18	JAMESON: Yeah.
19	COOK: conclusion, that sort of thing?
20	JAMESON: Yeah.
21	COOK: Okay. I appreciate the comment that I-I will definitely
22	take that under advisement.
23	JAMESON: Yeah. So that was very good though, yeah.
24	COOK: Okay. Well, we will, uh we we will look for
25	 opportunities in the future to to involve the Board. We still got a pretty

good runaway ahead of us, and -- and I really do appreciate the feedback, Dr. Jameson.

JAMESON: Yeah, but you've done a beautiful job on this.

COOK: Well, I want to emphasize that our agency has been playing a support role and, uh, that, uh -- um, that Stacie Weeks and her team have -- have done, uh, in -- in -- in my opinion, uh, uh, beyond an admirable job, uh, getting us to this juncture. The complexity of this project, uh, truly boggles the mind. And, uh, I-I continue to be impressed by them. So thank you very much, Ms. Weeks.

JOHNSON: Jonathan Johnson here. Uh, my -- my question is, are there other, um, states that have -- that have had the -- the public option and not been like the default or passive enroll option? This is the first question. The -- the second one, kind of closely related in my mind, is enrolling members in the -- the public option, is that at a lower cost to that participant because they're already, you know, getting a-a subsidy that's income based? They're paying based on, um, on their income, not the cost of the plan? So if -- if it's -- if it's not a cost factor, um, why are we going to say, hey, you've -- you've always purchased Diet Coke, now we're gonna enroll you and just give you a Diet Pepsi.

COOK: So, um, I think -- I think I'd like to answer that in a reverse order. Um, premium reduction targets -- and yes, I see a hand from, uh -- from Ms. Weeks. Um --

WEEKS: Can I just jump in Russell? I'm a little frustrated that we -- you and I did not discuss these comments because a lot of this is confidential negotiations with CMS. So, first of all, I would just note that

the passive enrollment, my understanding is not tied to public options. States are doing this in the Federal Exchanges that has nothing to do with the public option. CMS just wants to see us work as a state to put people in a more affordable option. It does not have to be the public option. So, I think there might be some confusion of tying this to the public option. This is an opportunity for the Exchange to do something that the Federal Exchanges can do, and there are tools to help people find a more affordable plan. It may not be the public option. So, Russell, if you think it is, then we need to have that conversation because that's not the approach that we were trying to take. We were trying to respond to CMS wanting to push our Exchange to do, uh, the enrollment where people are not just automatically put back in a plan without them knowing that there could be more affordable option, whether or not it's public option or not has nothing to do with that. So, I-I just wanna clarify for that converstion.

JAMESON: Thank you, uh, Stacie, for clarifying. And I think what we'll do, since there are sensitive topics here, we won't, uh, as our DAG would recommend, go off on a tangent on this at this point. But we really appreciate that update on this because it is a complicated issue and, uh, we can have you guys work on this, vet it out further, and bring it back to the next board meeting. Stacie, thank you.

WEEKS: Thank you, Madam Chair.

COOK: Russell Cook for the record. The next section of the Executive Director's Report covers Medicaid unwinding. The exchange continues to receive several thousand electronic referrals each month of Medicaid or CHIP enrollees whose coverage was terminated as part of the

unwinding of the public health emergency. As of June 1, 2024, the
exchange has received referrals for a total of approximately 114,700
unique individuals throughout the unwinding period, of which
approximately 6,500 have enrolled in marketplace coverage. This
represents a cumulative conversion rate of 5.6%. In an effort to improve
this conversion rate, the Exchange recently implemented a direct-to-
consumer SMS messaging campaign. This campaign aims to inform
consumers of their available options for enrollment through Nevada Health
Link, and the messaging differs depending on how recently a given
household was redetermined as Medicaid or CHIP ineligible. Those who lost
eligibility within the previous 60 days are encouraged to act now to avoid a
gap in coverage. Those who lost eligibility more than 60 days prior are
notified that they're still eligible to enroll in marketplace coverage
through November 30th per CMS'S recent extension of the unwinding-
related special enrollment period. The Exchange does not have enough
does not yet have enough data with which to gauge the success of the
campaign, but we look forward to providing a detailed update at the
October 4th. I'll now pause for questions and comments from the Board.
JAMESON: I hear no questions or comments. Are there any
hands up?
COOK: All right. Hearing no questions and seeing no hands, I
will move on to the next section, Tribal Sponsorship and Tribal Partnership

will move on to the next section, Tribal Sponsorship and Tribal Partnership Program. In recent months, our ongoing work in support of Nevada tribes has consisted primarily of seeking support from the Exchange's insurance carriers for our monthly aggregated billing workflow. This process

aggregates monthly premiums of individual enrollments, which qualify for
premium sponsorship by the respective tribe, allowing for a single monthly
payment covering all sponsored enrollments. Thus far, four of the
Exchange's health carriers have expressed support and we hope to bring
the remaining carriers on board in the coming weeks. Another area of
progress relates to difficulties that tribes have experienced with specialist
referral claims, which is a consistent area of frustration the tribes have
reported to the Exchange. Over the past few months, we've learned a great
deal from our carriers about tools that Tribal Health Centers can use to
send electronic notifications of specialist referrals to their respective
insurance carriers. These tools have the potential to greatly improve the
accuracy of referral claims processing with respect to cost sharing
reduction benefits for AIAN enrollments. We are anxious to share this
information with our tribal stakeholders as we work to deepen the
Exchange's support for these communities. Lastly, I would like to update
the Board on a recent change that was made to the branding of the
Exchange's Tribal Support Initiative. In prior updates, we have referred to
this initiative as the Tribal Sponsorship Program, but the Exchange recently
became aware of some confusion that this moniker was causing amongst
our tribal stakeholders. Guidance published by the Indian Health Services
uses the phrase tribal sponsorship in specific reference to the sponsorship
of monthly premiums, i.e. the third-party pay arrangement, which tribes
pay the monthly insurance premiums of qualifying vendors. Since
aggregation of monthly premiums is only one component of our support
services, the Exchange has rebranded our initiative as the Tribal

Partnership Program, which we believe is more representative of our broad commitment to serving Nevada's tribal nations. I'll now pause again for comments or questions from the Board. Commissioner Kipper, yes?

KIPPER: Yeah. Uh, again, uh -- and I know we've, uh, had this conversation between, uh, DOI staff and Exchange staff. But if there is a-a question or concern about the, uh, uh, specialist and referrals, uh, simply request that, uh, you use the Division as a resource. I mean, we have the regulatory authority to, uh, work with the carriers to ensure that those tribal members do get a proper, uh, specialist as referred as, uh, per the contract that they're insured under. So, we just, again, reach out and, and, uh -- and make that request and -- we look forward to greater collaboration with the Exchange and the tribal representatives.

COOK: Thank you, Commissioner. I appreciate the suggestion. Uh, Russell Cook for the record. I-I just wanted to share a little bit more insight into the specific difficulties that have been encountered. Um, the -- the referral claims themselves are working properly. The -- the specialist services are being provided. Um, the -- the trouble they've encountered comes when the specialist claims get submitted to the respective insurance carrier. Uh, they don't always have the appropriate, uh, cost sharing reduction benefits applied to them. In conversations with insurance carriers, we have learned that this is -- is largely a technical problem, uh, because in most cases, these systems do not, uh -- uh, do not have an electronic record of that referral being submitted to them from the authorized primary care physician. So, um, the -- the -- the -- the specific nature of -- of the support that we're trying to provide is to inform and --

and, uh -- and educate Tribal Health Centers, Tribal Benefits Manager, in particular, on methods that might be available to them through electronic web portals to submit notification of these referrals, uh, much like a-a prior authorization would function. But absolutely, we appreciate the offer for collaboration and -- and we will certainly keep you apprised, uh, on -- uh, on our progress. And -- and if we do run across, uh, any, uh, novel or -- or un-unanticipated, uh, problems, um, certainly our first course of action to those -- to refer those over to the Division.

KIPPER: And -- and certainly as part of that -- the -- the referral process, but also if there's a claims, uh, adjudication issue, uh, you -- we would also make that same offer.

COOK: We appreciate that, Commissioner. We will definitely keep you in the loop. Thank you. All right. If there are no further questions, I'm going to move on to the final section of the Executive Director Report, which is personnel updates. And I would be remiss if I didn't begin this section by acknowledging that today marks the final board meeting of our current Chair, Dr. Florence Jameson. Dr. Jameson has been an integral part of the Exchange's spirit and drive since before I began working here in 2016. And I feel honored to express on behalf of the Exchange's staff, both past and present, our gratitude for her steady temperament, warm demeanor, and compassionate leadership. By any objective measure, the accomplishments of the Exchange under her watch represent an astonishing body of work. And on a personal level, I'm extremely grateful for all that she has done to help me grow into the very big shoes of the Executive Director position over the last year. Thank you,

Dr. Jameson, for your vigilant guidance. We wish you the very best of luck in your future endeavors.

JAMESON: Thank you, Russell.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COOK: I'm happy to report that as of April 1st, the Exchange is operating at full staff with zero vacancies for the first time since the prepandemic era. It's my belief that our current staff represents as dedicated and capable a group of employees as the Exchange has ever known. As you know, filling all remaining vacancies has been one of my top priorities since assuming the Director's position. Doing so has been a tall order, and I want to take the opportunity to thank the Exchange's supervisors for their diligent efforts in conducting no fewer than 10 successful recruitments in as many months. I regret to inform the Board, however, that the Exchange will not be at full staff for much longer. A bit of background information would be required to properly explain the circumstances, but I believe it's important for the Board to have some insight into these proceedings. In the fall of 2021, the Division of Human Resource Management, or DHRM, approved one of our senior staff members to move out of the state and continue his service to the state by working remotely. Throughout 2023, the Exchange awaited the release of the Governor's statewide remote work policy with great interest as we were concerned that changing regulations might jeopardize this individual's continued employment. The only relevant guidance available at the time was a document posted on DHRM'S website, which enumerated seven reasons for agency directors to consider approving out of state telework. These reasons included recruiting or retaining a rare skill set,

which incidentally was the justification for approving this employee's move
in the first place, and legacy agreements for previously approved out-of-
state work when it is working well and continuing to meet business dates.
When the statewide remote work policy was released in December of last
year, it granted latitude to agency directors to approve remote work as a
limited discretionary privilege. No mention was made of out-of-state
telework say for two stipulations of the policy, which were identified as
not applied to workers who are permanently stationed outside of the state.
The policy appeared to be entirely compatible with the guidance on
DHRM'S website with no apparent contradictions between the two
documents. We therefore agreed, with a collective sigh relief. And in
January, I approved a remote work agreement as required by the statewide
policy to allow our out-of-state employee to continue working remotely. In
late February, I received a request from the Governor's office to provide
our agency's remote work agreements for their review. Shortly after
providing our agreements, I was notified that the Exchange was not an
agency which had been approved for out of state or out station employees.
I requested clarification, as I was concerned that the Exchange might have
been operating out compliance with existing regulations, but no
clarification was provided. A few weeks later, the Governor's office advised
agency directors that they were no longer authorized to employ personnel
who were working remotely from out of state, and that we were either to
bring them back into the state or let them go. No guidance was provided
regarding a method for dismissal. The aforementioned document on the
DHRM website was eventually removed, and the Attorney General's, or

AG's, Office later confirmed that the document is not an official sanctioned
policy of the state, and that it is inappropriate to base any remote work
policy or decisions on the document. This scenario presented a Human
Resources challenge for which the Exchange had no precedent. Permanent
classified employees of the state of Nevada enjoy substantial protections
to their employment status under the Nevada Administrative Code. Before
they can be dismissed, they must be notified of an alleged violation of
conduct after which an investigation is conducted to validate the
allegation. If the allegation is confirmed, then the level of discipline is
determined and a lawful basis for the recommended disciplinary action
must be approved by the Attorney General's office. For this reason, I was
immediately concerned with identifying a lawful basis for dismissing the
employee in question. Over the last three months, our agency has sought
guidance on this topic from the Governor's office, DHRM, and the AG's
office. Initially, I thought that if a prohibition against out-of-state
telework, or even out-of-state residency itself, could be identified, then a
lawful basis for termination would exist. But there is apparently no such
regulatory prohibition. The eventual guidance from the AG's office, which
we received on June 6th, was that I was to order this employee to report
for work at the Exchange's Carson City offices. After failing to report for
onsite work on the prescribed date, he would eventually dis uh, be
dismissed for insubordination if he failed to comply with the order and
possibly also for being absent without leave for <inaudible>. I want to</inaudible>
emphasize that I have, at every juncture, endeavored to ensure that the
state remain protected from any potential liability for wrongful dismissal.

1	The Exchange unequivocally supports the priorities of the Governor's
2	office, and my deliberation throughout this process has stemmed from
3	caution, not from opposition. On June 10th, I notified the employee in
4	question to report for work at his Carson City office location by July 1st.
5	But I deeply regret that the state's only suggested course of action
6	requires the Exchange to tarnish the otherwise impeccable personnel
7	record of a model employee. Throughout this entire process, he has
8	maintained his substantial workload while conducting himself with the
9	utmost professionalism and integrity, knowing full well that his
10	employment status was in jeopardy, but not knowing when or how his
l1	dismissal would occur. I believe his commitment to this agency is worthy of
12	the utmost respect and commendation. That concludes my Executive
13	Director's Report, and I will now take any questions or comments from the
L 4	Board.
15	JAMESON: Are there any, uh, questions or comments from the
16	Board?
L 7	COOK: Uh, Ms. Clark, yes?
18	CLARK: Yes, sorry. Uh, thank you, Madam Chair, um, and
19	Russell. I-I just want to understand that it it's not necessarily that he
20	has to be terminated. Um, you have a return-to-work policy. He could
21	choose to just resign because he can't comply, correct?
22	COOK: Voluntary resignation is is an option. Uh, doing so
23	would entail waiving a-a number of rights, um, uh, that that that are
24	that are available to to classified employees. So, um, it's definitely not

a-a-a comparable equivalent to a -- to a -- to a lawful dismissal.

CLARK: Okay. So I just -- we're not -- we're not -- he doesn't have to part ways in a negative way. I-I understand he doesn't wanna give up any rights, but it doesn't have to be, um -- it's not a requirement that it looks negative on him if he were to depart. Correct?

COOK: My understanding --

KUNNEL: If I may, excuse me. I'm sorry. I will have to interrupt right there, because some of these conversations and topics are going not only beyond the scope, but also protected under certain other aspects of OML <inaudible> and things of that nature that relates to personnel issues. Um, and one other thing I have to say, in that particular belief, that, uh, Russell has, uh, divulged, uh, some of those points that would be considered as protected under attorney client privilege. And, uh -- well, it is for the client to waive that right, but, uh, I just wanted to put this on the record, um, and thank you.

CLARK: Okay. Thank you.

JAMESON: Thank you. Thank you so much. And the only comment I -- I think it would be okay to say is I just would like to give my gratitude for trying to protect everybody's interest in this changes and, uh-uh, and respecting the governor's policy. And, uh, thank you so much. Uh, okay. I think we're ready, uh, to move on. And, um, yeah, that was an outstanding report. Russell, thank you so much. I think the Board really, uh, sees where we have been and where we're going. I love your new going forward -- approach going forward to give us a little idea of what's about to roll out. That was really well done. Thank you. We are now going to go to the Marketing Outreach Update presented by the Exchange and

marketing partner, Abbi Agency. And here we're gonna see what Russell has given us a little glimpse of, that they wanna go to a more simple approach in soliciting our clients. Please proceed.

ANDERSON: Good afternoon, everyone. Connie Anderson for the record. Um, Kaitlyn, will you be reading the written report first before I go into the presentation? Or Janel?

BLAGEN: Hi, Connie. Janel will be reading.

ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

J. DAVIS: I'll be reading, um, the report. I wasn't sure if we were just gonna skip over that section. So yes, I have that for us. Uh, this is just a summary and -- I'm sorry, really quick, Janel Davis, I'm the Chief Operations Officer, uh, at the Exchange. And I will be just giving some general comments on the Marketing and Outreach Report since our Communications Officer, uh, is on maternity leave. And, um, so I have very much summarized, um, the lengthy presentation, um, and -- and what's in the Fiscal and Operational Report. So, this will be fairly quick, and then we'll let the Abbi Agency present, uh, with some visual aids. All right. So the period after OEP, open enrollment, from January to June of 2024 has been a time for the Exchange, the Abbi Agency Marketing for Change, which is a subcontractor to the Abbi Agency, and our research team to dive deeper into Nevada Health Link's audience and better understand the customer journey and the customer's level of understanding, uh, also their needs and wants when it comes to health insurance coverage. Uh, Marketing for Change conducted several research studies. Russell alluded to this in his report, uh, after the conclusion of the open enrollment

period, and this was to build a more detailed understanding of the Nevada
consumer. The Exchange's communications team and the Abbi Agency have
worked together to message the special enrollment period to target
audiences that have experienced, uh, qualifying life events. This campaign
featured website landing pages in both English and Spanish, a revised
creative concept with simplified messaging, digitally focused advertising,
and a robust community outreach program. The Abbi Agency and the
Exchange also worked closely on the con-continuation of the public health
emergency campaign. As the redetermination process has been extended,
the Abbi Agency has identified opportunities to enhance ad performance
assisted with development of the text messaging campaign and connected
the Exchange with both regional and national news outlets to discuss the
coverage options available for former Medicaid recipients on Nevada
Health Link.com. Uh, lastly, the Abbi Agency and Erica Aviles Consulting, a
subcontractor of the Abbi Agency specializing in Hispanic media and
outreach, developed a comprehensive off season content plan centered on
the Hispanic and Latino communities. This plan is strategically designed to
follow relevant healthcare topics and themes, ensuring that the content is
both community focused and engaging. By aligning the efforts of
community outreach, public relations, and digital content teams, the plan
ensures that Nevada Health Link effectively highlights key messages across
all communication channels. Furthermore, Erica Aviles Consulting proposed
several innovative ideas for the off season aimed at engaging multicultural
communities and delivering key messages to broader audiences through
collaboration with community partners. Um, EAC also enhanced Nevada

Health Link's off-season presence by increasing community engagement.
They developed more Hispanic focused so-social media posts, showcasing
Nevada Health Link navigators actively working within the Hispanic
community. They also implemented a collaborative social media series
called On the Road with Rosa, uh, with Nevada Health Link's brand
ambassador Rosa Alejandre, and RTC Southern Nevada, which connected
the local community to navigators, further boosting brand awareness. Uh,
these initiatives are part of the strategic plan to ensure that Nevada
Health Link remains visible and engaged with the community throughout
the off-season period. Uh, in support of marketing efforts, multiple
research studies were conducted. Marketing for Change designed and
fielded three surveys immediately following the end of OEP, uh, in January.
So those three are, uh, a robust survey of Nevada residents, including a
subsample of Spanish speaking Nevadans, a survey of current Nevada
Health and Consumers, and a survey of enrollees that terminated their plan
with Nevada Health Link. A quick update on the unwinding and
redeterminations. Um, the Exchange and the Abbi Agency continue to
execute a target marketing and outreach strategy to inform Nevadans
about the ongoing redeterminations of Medicaid eligibility and drive the
affected individuals to seek coverage through Nevada Health Link excuse
me. Given the significant challenges in driving enrollments from this
audience, the Exchange made the decision to move forward with adding an
SMS texting campaign to supplement our marketing and outreach efforts to
reach those individuals whose information was shared with the Exchange
through the account transfer process. The Abbi Agency drafted and

distributed a press release highlighting the new texting campaign as
another opportunity to garner media attention and reach potential
enrollees from this audience. Um, a little bit on the special enrollment
period in our campaign overview. The overarching goal was to have a
targeted campaign that spoke directly to the identified targeted audiences
who recently experienced a qualifying life event. The campaign creative is
heavy on clear and distinct messaging and uses bold colors from the
branded color palette to draw attention. After identifying the qualifying
life events most frequently selected by consumers, target audiences for
this campaign were outlined as follows; those who recently experienced a
change in household size, birth or adoption, income or employment status,
a loss of health coverage, or have moved to Nevada or a new service area
within the state. A little bit on public relations. Um, after OEP wrapped up
in mid-January, we were focused on pushing post open enrollment
messaging highlighting the strong number of enrollees with nearly a
hundred thousand consumers enrolled in health insurance by the end of
open enrollment. The Abbi Agency and the Exchange pushed pushed out
a statewide press release and individual media pitches around why this
enrollment was so successful and the impact it has had on Nevadans. This
was also completed by an op-ed in the Nevada Independent that the Abbi
Agency helped draft and place on behalf of Russell Cook emphasizing the
need for expanded access to affordable healthcare coverage. Uh, in March,
we sent out a press release on behalf of the Exchange highlighting the 14th
anniversary of the Affordable Care Act. This garnered statewide coverage
and reminded Nevadans of how the ACA has helped more Nevadans become

enrolled in insurance and why it still matters today. And a little bit on community relations and event outreach. So, during the off-season period, community relations and event outreach teams were focused on enriching the off-season marketing plan through community outreach, attendance of events, and key sponsorships. Navigators are projected to participate in 94 community events between -- between January and June. Uh, more than 47,000 people attended the events where we had navigators attending, um, and they reported over 10,000 interactions with attendees. Uh, Nevada Health Link also completed a total of 44 sponsorships. And Erica Aviles Consulting researched and provided the Hispanic Latino collaborations with organizations serving underserved communities by facilitating and securing tabling events, presentation opportunities, and sponsorships targeting these communities. All right. And that is the summary of the Marketing and Outreach Report, and I'll turn it back over to Connie Anderson.

ANDERSON: Thank you.

JAMESON: Thank you. Was there any questions before, uh, Connie goes ahead and gives us our visual? And a lot of this will be reinforced with this beautiful visual.

ANDERSON: Absolutely. Thank you, Janel. Um, our team is always very proud and honored to work with Nevada Health Link and the outreach that they do. It's been a busy, uh, open enrollment. I know we went through that in our last board report, and it's been a busy, uh, special enrollment period so far. So today we're gonna take a look back at the first six months or so of the year -- year. We provide this report in early June, so the data that you're seeing, and that Janelle reported, goes

through early May. I also have, um, two of our wonderful colleagues on the phone, Peter from Marketing for Change, and Owen from my team, and they will be helping to present a few of the slides today. So, um, overall, uh, looking at our strategy. When we're looking at the off season, that time outside of OEP, uh, we are looking at making sure that Nevadans still know that they have access to health insurance coverage, um, whether that's because they perhaps have a qualifying life event, or if that's because they have, uh, fallen off of Medicaid or are becoming unwound from Medicaid. As Russell indicated, our team has looked at really simplifying our messages overall to make sure that Nevadans know that Nevada Health Link.com is the official marketplace, um, and that this is the only place that you can find subsidies. I'm gonna turn it over to Peter now, who's gonna talk a little bit more in depth about two of the research projects that we've completed recently.

PETER: Well, so -- just as the Abbi people are very busy during OEP, we get very busy at the end of OEP, um, looking at, uh, evaluation and -- and running a very large quant study. We actually surveyed more than 4,000 people after the, uh -- to do this. We -- that includes, uh, 26, uh -- over 2,600 general population, um, to get their, uh, feeling about the -- about the -- the process and -- and look at our market. Uh, we look at about -- we did about 976 users, uh, about them. We also had 373 from, uh, Spanish speaking population. That was a new thing we added this year is to look at the Spanish speaking population. In addition to that, this year, we added, uh, looking at the levers. These were people that had left, had -- had, um, uh, uh, been, uh, passively enrolled and then left, um,

mostly because they had found, uh, um, uh, coverage elsewhere, but, uh, um, um, some -- some because of the -- 'cause of cost. Um, and so we do that because we wanna know, uh, what does the market look like? What do our customers think? And how well did OEP go? And the basic answer to that is the market is fairly similar to what we found last year. This is the second year we've done this. And -- and this study is actually, I believe, the most extensive, um, quant survey looking at the insur -- who's insured, who's uninsured, outside of the one the census does, um, through the American Community Survey. So, um, that -- and that one is -- is outdated, obviously, 'cause it comes out about a year later, and it's done month by month when they do the ACS. Um, what we, you know -- in general we learned is our market is, uh, basically 19% of Nevadans, that's, uh, one in five, are either uninsured, independently insured, or insured through Nevada Health Link. Um, and, um, one of the things that -- one of the, kind of, insights from this is that lots of these people are eligible for subsidy when we do a back of the envelope, uh, knowing their number of people in their household and their income about half of these -- this population is eligible for subsidies. Um, that's about 56% of the uninsured, 44% of the independently insured. So we're -- we focused on these in -- in -- in the qualitative, um, and we'll get in that in just a second. But, uh, um, we also saw that the favorability went up very slightly of, uh, Nevada Health Link, but pretty much similar to, uh, what's earlier -- what we've seen earlier where we have about one in five that have never heard of Nevada Health Link, and 41% with no opinion, and then, uh, uh, we went from, um -- uh, up to, uh, 22%, uh -- I'm sorry, up to 26% favorable, um, the -- from the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

users, we found out that, uh -- that we actually went up there. The net promoter score, which is a measure of would you recommend this to somebody, uh, else, went up, uh, from 24 to 33 this year. Um, uh, and we found similar, uh, issues -- the -- the issues that people did have that -- people that were the minority of people that had it -- any sort of negative opinion, um, it was about cost. It was about the policies, about the, uh, particular carrier complaints, and then difficulty shopping for plans and -- and website issues. Um, so, uh, I think that, uh, and -- and then, uh, I wanna go to the next slide with the qualitative, um, and talk a little bit about, um, uh, this was where we looked at the subsidy eligible population that we really wanna focus on. Oh, go ahead.

JAMESON: I just wanted to ask a question because you did such a-a great job of, uh, interviewing and questioning so many people. Uh, I might have missed it, but I just think that, uh, including a real breakdown of those that, uh, as you say the leavers, or those that terminated their plan, we -- I know for one, and perhaps the other board members, would be very curious in future similar studies, and you probably have the data to include it. Because some of them may have become -- I would love to know, is this 100 of them that terminated? Is it a thousand that terminated? I would love to know if it was because they had got a job, an employee-based insurance, whether it was they got on Medicaid, whether they got -- whether they left town, the state -- left the state. And, um, so I just think that's a nice --

PETER: So, of the -- of the -- we -- have a full report on that, that we can -- we can provide you certainly and it has all the data. But just

1	quickly, since you asked the question and I love giving out data, uh, about
2	two thirds of them had found insurance elsewhere, uh, mostly group
3	insurance, Medicaid, or Medicare. So, they had
4	JAMESON: Okay.
5	PETER: found elsewhere insured. There were as far as the
6	reasons that were, uh, the most common reason outside of that so two
7	thirds of them now, we're just looking at one third. Uh, a little less than
8	half of that 14% left because of cost. They thought the cost was just too
9	high. Um, and then, um, uh, uh, that those were the, uh those were
10	the the top.
11	JAMESON: Mind share of them.
12	PETER: Yeah.
13	JAMESON: Thank you so much.
14	PETER: But we can give you a full breakdown. We have lots of
15	reports.
16	JAMESON: That was just nice to know.
17	PETER: We can we can put you to sleep forever.
18	You can read these before bed if you're having trouble.
19	JAMESON: No, that was a good big picture. Thank you.
20	PETER: Okay. I-I-I see that she's talking, but I think her mic is -
21	-
22	J. DAVIS: Stacie, we can't hear you. Sorry. I still cannot hear
23	you. Sorry, Stacie.
24	WEEKS: Does that work better?
25	J. DAVIS: Yes.

1 WEEKS: I'm struggling with Zoom today. I don't know, it doesn't like me. Um, Peter, I just have a quick question on the cost. I'm 2 3 assuming that's premium cost. Or did -- were you guys --PETER: Absolutely. Absolutely. 4 5 WEEKS: Not deductible, okay. 6 PETER: Although, you know, um, we found in other research that we do -- we do a lot of research across the country -- that people are 7 more and more paying attention to the things like deductibles, so that --8 9 that, um -- and I believe the question was worded, uh, the overall cost. So 10 we don't -- it -- it --11 WEEKS: Okay. PETER: -- typically it's premiums, I know from qualitative 12 13 responses and open ends, but that's, um -- deductibles are definitely 14 things people bought for and -- and, um -- um, so. 15 JAMESON: Good point. 16 WEEKS: Okay, thank you. 17 PETER: Um, one of the things that -- that we looked at subsidy eligible, because there's a large share of them we know from the quant, 18 uh, I think it's about -- where do I have this? I have this here. Uh, about, 19 uh, 44% of the people that decide not to, um -- not to follow up, not to 20 21 even -- these are uninsured, that decide not to apply and not even to look 22 into it, and 44% of those people, um, are eligible for subsidies. So, these 23 are people that think it's too expensive, so they're not going in and they're 24 eligible for subsidies. So, we wanna look at that population, really see if 25 we can get some media weight against those people, so the -- the -- we can actually raise the -- the -- the awareness level among those. Now the awareness level of subsidy-eligible population is a little bit higher than overall, but it's still, um -- you know, there's room for growth there. And for them to know that they could actually -- they're likely to qualify for subsidies, um, we feel is really critical. So, we looked at those people. The -- the qualitative, I won't go into the detail of it 'cause I take up all the time, but, uh, uh, did personas of who those people are, uh, that -- that -- then we will be developing ads against the -- the ads Abbi folks -- we just talked the other day, uh, give and -- and about, uh, how they're de -- the ads they're developing for that and going to that, uh, more straightforward approach that -- that Russell, uh, referenced. Okay. I know, I-I overstayed my welcome, uh, Connie. Sorry.

ANDERSON: No. Wonderful. Great job. Thank you, Peter. Um -- JAMESON: Thank you.

ANDERSON: As we discussed, we wanted to share an example of some of these special enrollment ads that are running right now. Um, you'll see here that we have focused very much on the brand color palette. Um, we have used the similar lines that we've used in previous years, such as plans change, we're here for you. We've very much highlighted, whether that's through text or photos, some of those qualifying life events. Um, and then our call to action, you'll see on many of these advertisements are plans as low as \$10. Uh, through AB testing on digital campaigns during the open enrollment period, we found that, uh, more people clicked on plans as low as \$10 and plans as low as \$0, and that is supported by some national research as well. So that's what you will see, um, in these special

enrollment ads. Um, and when we talk about the Medicaid renewal and the public health emergency unwinding, here's an example of a billboard ad that has been running, um, in the off season right now. Again, you'll notice that, if you remember our first, uh, phase of our Medicaid and p -- uh, public health emergency and unwinding ads, we very much focused on encouraging people to look for their packets in the mail and to make sure that they were filling those out. As the unwinding has gone on for some time, we've kind of moved into what we're considering a phase two, um, where we're focusing on if you have lost Medicaid, Nevada Health Link can be that partner that can help you find a health insurance plan. So you'll see that reiterated on our ads. And then, um, additional updates we're doing to some of the website language as well.

JAMESON: I love these simple, straightforward bullet ads.

Plans change, of course as an OB, new baby, I mean, and lost Medicaid,

that that is, you know -- it's so effective. It really grabs 'em. I-I think it -- I

always say you guys do a great job. It could be your best ever.

ANDERSON: Thank you so much. Uh, when we're looking at billboards, especially, we're always trying to keep it under eight or so words. It's very hard, uh, to -- you would think it'd be easy to put, you know, a message into eight words, but it's really not. So we're always trying to condense it as much as we can so that the information, uh, is getting across to our consumers when they need it and when they're seeing it. Um, I wanna talk a little bit more about our paid media strategy. As you know, uh, year round our, uh -- the Abbi Agency and Nevada Health Link team is doing paid media, social media, uh, PR, and then content

marketing, so that includes website updates, uh, blogs and newsletters to
help reach our consumers and make sure we're providing them with the
information they need when they need it. Paid media, of course, is an
important part of that. Um, we are looking to reach all uninsured
Nevadans. As we can, um, through different targeting, we are looking at
subgroups of our audience. So sometimes we're looking at subsidy-eligible
uninsured Nevadans, we're looking at rural Nevadans, our multicultural
groups, and we're of course looking at the state as a whole. Um, but we do
deliver different ads based upon, uh, the urban markets and then rural
markets. This is a picture that we actually took, um, in September of last
year in Fallon, Nevada. So, it is something that is very, uh you know,
aligns with the rural audience directly. So, we're using pictures like this to
reach our rural audiences. Um, you'll see here an overview of the Medicaid
unwinding and public health emergency strategy from a paid media
perspective. Um, I know this is lots of information. It is all in the board
packet. I, uh I'll just share here at a highlighted level. We are doing
advertising on Google search. So, if you're on Google or Bing and you're
searching, you know, uh, what happens if I lose Medicaid? How to what's
next after losing Medicaid in Nevada? Things like that, you're gonna be
served ads. Uh, we also have Google display, which are some of those
square images that pop up when you're using the internet. Um, we're
serving videos on YouTube. We're doing meta, which includes Facebook and
Instagram, Spotify, and then out of home, so those billboard ads, which I
just showed you a couple of slides ago. We are serving everything in
 English and Spanish. And then when anvone clicks on an ad and goes to the

website, we are also retargeting them. If somebody clicks on the ad and goes to the site to learn more information, they probably have a reason why, whether that's themselves, um, looking for this or they know somebody, so we're gonna retarget them with information. So far to date, looking at January through, um, May when this report was provided, uh, for the Fiscal and Operational, again, this is a big, uh, data set here of each of the campaigns that are running. Um, on this side here, we've provided some high-level insights and findings. Um, overall, I'll just touch on a couple of those. Um, on Google, we had a click through rate of 23.19%, meaning that when people were searching for this language, we were reaching them with those right keywords, and they found Nevada Health Link to be a reputable, trustworthy source to come to, which is great. Um, overall, we were able to determine that we had more than 20,000 website visits directly from Google Display, um, coming to the Nevada Health Link site after seeing the PHE message. Um, we had almost a million impressions on YouTube, um, on Facebook and Instagram. We were focusing on decreasing the cost per click while increasing -- increasing the click through rate, so we achieved both of those goals, um, which we like. Um, and then I mentioned the out of home, but we place the out of home in, uh, target zip codes where we know these individuals are most likely to be, whether that's living, working, commuting. So, one thing we always kind of say is in Las Vegas, uh, yes, a lot of people drive the 15, but that's not as targeted as some of the neighborhoods and some of the major cross sections with the 215. So, we'll focus on out of home and billboards there versus the major 15 corridor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

job, and a great bringing down of the cost on your paid social media. 3 Outstanding.

ANDERSON: Thank you. We're always looking to bring down the cost while reaching the right individuals so we can reach more of the right individuals. Um, you'll see here that, uh, this report is provided for our special enrollment period. Uh, we obviously start the advertising for the special enrollment period after open enrollment. So, these advertisements began on February 1st. Um, we are running quite a bit of the same channels. Um, Google search and display, the paid, uh, social media on Meta, YouTube, Spotify, and out of home. Uh, we very much look at our targeting efforts to make sure that we are not competing with ourselves on any of these advertising platforms. So, we're always looking at who we're targeting. Um, if we see any potential crossover, we'll change our targeting to ensure that, uh, the right people are seeing the right message. Again, uh, quite a big data set here of how each of these are performing. Um, our Google SEM click-through rate for the SEP was even higher than PHE, which is great and much higher than the industry standard overall. Um, you'll see here that the Google display drove more clicks to the website, um, than the PHE, which, you know, we're reaching a-a bit of a wider set of people who have, uh, more qualifying life events here so, um, that's not surprising to see. And then on Spotify, we had quite a few impressions, um, and 1000 clicks, which we are excited to see that as well. So overall, um, we believe that both of these paid media campaigns are delivering people -- providing

JAMESON: That was, uh, great Google click through rate, great

an o-overall awareness with those billboards, but then on the digital side,

delivering the right message to the right people and then getting them to the website. Speaking of the website, we are here on the website. Uh, we - over the past, uh, six months or so since -- or five months or so since OEP has ended, uh, we are constantly working on making sure that the net -- website has the right information, it's easy to navigate, and is updated. Um, so we've done certain things on the homepage just to consolidate the colors, um, so that if somebody's clicking on enroll now, that's always going to be a green button on the website. If they're looking to log in, that's always going to be a purple button. So, we've done some improvements like that just for consistency's sake across the website. Uh, we're also working with the team to transition newsletters to find a system that works best for the Exchanges team, as well as the Abbi Agencies team, um, to deliver information to our consumers and our stakeholders.

JAMESON: I love the reduced clutter and the focus on the key elements. It's fantastic use of whitespace. So -- so very much more readable.

ANDERSON: Thank you. Um, one important thing looking ahead is that, um, as you all are probably aware or maybe you watch, uh, there is always new regulations and/or guidance about accessibility on websites. Um, Nevada doesn't nec -- have a specific guideline yet on websites, but there is the web content accessibility guidelines, which is somewhat of a national standard that our team is always looking to. So over the next, uh, couple of months, we will be making sure that we're doing a little bit of a work and audit on our end to make sure that we are adhering with those accessibility guidelines. So those are things, uh, such as language, such as

1 being able to have, uh, screen readers use the website. So some of it's 2 front end and backend. The site already has much of the accessibility, uh, 3 needs and requirements done, like all tags on images and things like that. 4 But we're gonna be working to make sure that we're adhering to the highest standards possible. 5 6 JAMESON: When someone enrolls and -- actually enrolls, what's the average pages they need to do that? 7 ANDERSON: Um, that's a great question. We can get back to 8 9 you. I think it's --JAMESON: That's fine. 10 11 ANDERSON: I'll show you here, uh, on the next slide. This is a great setup. But, uh, in the past, uh -- January 1st through May 10th, when 12 13 we pulled this, you'll see we had over 500,000 web visitors, uh, over 5 14 million page views, and then pages per session is 9.5. That's often what we 15 see on -- on aggregate. And that includes Nevada Health Link.com and 16 enroll Nevada health Link.com. So that is somebody who is looking at Nevada Health link's pages, as well as the enroll pages. I think that 17 depending on a variety of your personal circumstances on the get insured 18 enroll Nevada health Link.com platform, you could see a varying number of 19 pages. It's not, uh, the same for each person, but perhaps we can work 20 21 with the get insured team to understand what the average is on their side, 22 and then kind of marry it with what we're seeing on the informational 23 Nevada health Link.com side. JAMESON: Thank you. 24

ANDERSON: Absolutely. Um, we wanted to report, uh, on blog

and newsletters this year. So far, the blog has had over 300,000 views. Um,
that is helpful and important because, uh, the blog is searched by Google.
So when people are looking for specific topics, uh, the blog can help give
them access to those specific pieces of information. Um, you'll see here
that these were the top performing blogs. Um, the first one being the eight
Nevada health insurance providers offering the best health plans in the
state. Um, knowing that this report includes that first or that last part of
open enrollment, it makes sense that people were definitely looking for
more information about carriers as they were making that final decision.
Um, our newsletter you'll see here, uh, overall what was sent, an open
rate, um, as well as click through rate. It's good to see the open rate go
up. We did see the click through rate go down. Um, that's not necessarily
surprising. More people are opening it, but they might, uh, just have the
information they need and not need to click through. We definitely use the
newsletter to deliver information. It's nice if people click through, but we
don't wanna almost jinx them into having to go to the website. So we try in
the newsletters to deliver the information they need there versus making
them jump through hoops to see it elsewhere. Now we're gonna talk a little
bit about the public relations efforts. So, Owen, I will turn it over to you.

TRUESDALE: Thank you, Connie. Uh, Owen Truesdale for the record. I'm gonna go through a little bit of our public relations and community engagement outreach efforts, uh, during the off-season campaign. Uh, some of these have already been touched on by Janelle and Russell, um, but happy to go through some more detail on them. A couple key elements that we've, uh, been pushing out through the public relations

channel as part of our overall strategy. Uh, one was a, uh, integration of a
new AI chat bot that was, uh, brought forward by, uh, the partners at Get
Insured. Um, we did, uh, some some both statewide outreach as well as
national outreach in partnership with the Get Insured folks, um, really
trying to emphasize this as a-another step that the Exchange in Nevada
Health Link is taking to, uh, meet people where they are and deliver, uh,
you know, support and service in the way that they need it. Um, so we got
some very, very interested media pickup and something that we expect to
be kind of ongoing, uh, especially since we were one of the, kind of, first
movers in the nation on this, um, something that, uh, we, uh, expected
there to be additional media coverage on going forward. Uh, a-as Russell
mentioned, uh, we did a press release announcing the, uh, SMS text
campaign as a, uh, new outreach feature that, uh, Nevada Health Link
would be conducting to reach that, uh that hard-to-reach audience of
those who are, uh, not a able to access their Medicaid coverage, uh,
anymore. Um, really our goal with that, uh that outreach was to, uh,
balance the the need for, um, continued education around texting scams,
uh, something we know and have done a lot of, uh, public education
around in the past. Now that we will be, uh, you know, reaching out
directly via text message, we wanted to use our earned media and public
relations channel to tell folks, hey, this is something that, you know, is a
legitimate, uh, outreach coming from Nevada Health Link, the the official
marketplace, so that, you know, if people get a text and they're not 100%
sure they can, you know, maybe Google or or search on Bing to see, hey,
is this something that they're doing, and those news stories would pop up.

Um, also another way of trying to to remind folks that they should be,
uh, checking their coverage status, uh, to see if they have, in fact, lost
access to Medicaid. Um, and then lastly, uh, again, as part of our ongoing
efforts to, uh, make sure that we're, uh, bringing in more partners and
doing community-based conversations, uh, we have done two Facebook
Live events over the the past few months. One, uh, in March discussing
the anniversary of the Affordable Care Act with Russell and Heather
<inaudible> from Get Insured, and obviously the the past, uh, Executive</inaudible>
Director of Nevada Health Link, and then, uh, in May, uh, talking about
Women's Health Month with, uh, Rosa and, uh, Councilwoman Ruth Garcia-
Anderson. Um, so just some of the things that we have been doing for the
public relations side. Um, I will say we definitely ramped down a little bit
of our our public outreach during the, uh, uh, open the non-open
enrollment period, the off season period, to give media a little bit of a
break since we do really push very aggressively during the run up to and in
open enrollment period. Um, but we do really, uh, focus on our community
relations efforts there. Um, so as, uh, Janelle had mentioned, we'd, uh,
attended 94 different events throughout the state, executed 44, uh,
activations and sponsorships, and had direct, uh, interactions from our
navigators reported at more than 10,000, uh, conversations at these
different events. Chairwoman?
JAMESON: Uh, yeah. Uh, I just think it's very exciting trying

JAMESON: Uh, yeah. Uh, I just think it's very exciting trying new technology. We've always prided ourselves so much in the call center with the person -- personal response because we all know that, although you can simplify the messages that you're advertising with, it's very

1	complicated for patients negotiating their plan. And that's why we've
2	made, uh, the brokers and everybody so available. So, I'm sure that this
3	as you said, the benefits are great in that simple little things AI bot can
4	take care of very easily, and then, uh, it allows the call center folks to dea
5	with the more in-depth complicated things. So, I was just wondering, that
6	is it I'm gonna definitely try it out. I haven't yet. Um, and I'm hoping we
7	don't lose that personal touch we work hard to achieve. So, at some point,
8	does it do like all the other automated things, where it says, uh, this is
9	you know, uh, we're gonna have to turn you to an operator. Is that what it
10	does?
11	TRUESDALE: Uh, that's my understanding. Though I will, uh,
12	admit that I will defer to the experts from, uh from the the Exchange
13	staff to know exactly what the chat bot does and doesn't do. Um, my but
14	my understanding is that, is it yes, it does do kind of the basic
15	functionality and then as necessary pulls you into, um, having those
16	those direct conversations.
17	JAMESON: Have you had any feedback from our customers yet
18	on it?
19	TRUESDALE: I not that we have heard, but I would, again,
20	defer to our our our folks at the Exchange to to answer.
21	JAMESON: I'd just be curious on the follow up, but you can
22	proceed. Thanks.
23	TRUESDALE: Absolutely. Um, so, uh, it's a really high, uh
24	high level results. Um, we in the, uh, off season period, secured 83

media placements, um, including some na -- uh, one national, uh, reference

from CNN, uh, where they quoted Katie Charleston, uh, kind of talking
about the, uh the need for health insurance. Um, again, o-obviously our
primary focus is speaking to Nevadans, and so national media outreach is
not our primary goal. I will say part of the reason we do that on in
targeted ways is to help improve our SEO score and make sure that Nevada
Health Link, um, is ranking highly across, um, when people are searching.
And so that is, uh, the real goal of doing any national media outreach, um,
is to to bolster our our SEO scores. Um, and then, uh, as we
mentioned, uh, placing, uh, some op an op-Ed from from Russell about
the importance of of healthcare and health insurance, uh, in conjunction
with the, uh the ACA anniversary. If you wanna hop to the next slide,
we'll talk a little bit about community engagement. Um, again, we've gone
through these numbers. I think, uh, the most important thing to, uh, really
emphasize here is that we are are constantly looking for new events and
new places to to interact with Nevadans in person, especially reaching,
uh, diverse and multicultural communities throughout the state. Um, and
this is really a place where we, uh, in addition to the Abbi Agency and the
Erica Aviles team, um, get lots and lots of suggestions from Nevada Health
Link staff, as well as our brokers and navigators, and work to make sure
that we are showing up at the right places. And we continue to get really
strong, uh, navigator reports back from the events that we do, uh, attend.
And that, uh, just again, for context, is kind of how we determine which
events to continue attending, um, and maybe even up our engagement
through sponsorship, is those navigator reports coming back saying, you
know, these these were really well attended, these events may be not.

So as they do kind of ebb and flow over time, uh, especially as we really
get into the full post-pandemic, uh, in-person landscape. All right. And
then if you hop to the next slide, uh, speak a little bit, uh, to the work that
we do in in partnership with Erica Aviles Consulting, um, making sure
that we are, uh, reaching our multicultural communities. Um, so there's a
full report, uh, included, but a couple things we did wanna highlight is
those, uh, Facebook Live Spanish conversations. Um, and in particular, I
wanna make sure that we, uh, shout out, uh, Rosa and the On the Road
with Rosa Partnership that we did with RTC of Southern Nevada. Uh, really
appreciate Rosa, um, kind of being the face of this campaign, um, and, uh,
doing something that has multiple, uh, engagements. So there's the in-
person element, there's the the social media piece, and then the ongoing
partnership where we provide branded water bottles, ao those are being
handed out over time. So thank you Rosa, for for doing that and be
always being willing to to be a-a-a spokesperson for Nevada Health Link,
in addition to to Russell and Janelle and others.

JAMESON: Did -- did the bottles say, um, On the Road with Rosa?

TRUESDALE: I think these just had our branded logo on -- just the Nevada Health Link.com. I think we, uh, uh, didn't -- didn't go too far that way, um, but we do have the social media segments out there and have more -- more in the pipeline as well. Um, yeah, so that's, uh, a quick summary of our -- our multicultural outreach, which continues throughout, uh, the, uh, off season. Thank you.

ANDERSON: Go ahead, Dr. Jameson.

JAMESON: Oh, no, go ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ANDERSON: Okay. Uh, moving on to social media. Um, our team remains, uh, provide -- working on posts, again, both in English and Spanish for a variety of platforms including Instagram, Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, and TikTok. Um, we continually work to make sure that the content we're putting on each of those channels aligns with the audiences that are on those channels. So those who are on TikTok are, uh, younger. We're -- o-only post video content on that channel because that's really created for that, whereas LinkedIn, we're often posting a bit more, uh, news oriented content and information that aligns with a lot of our broker and navigator communities and other stakeholder communities. Um, we are going to be working on this summer, uh, working better -- or working in more alignment with our community outreach to be going to lots of these events and doing more story content on Instagram and Facebook, which shows real time, uh, people and the brand in the field, so to speak. Um, overall, in looking at, um, the engagement, uh, posts with people in them, uh, do very well, so we wanna make sure that we have more access to that. Um, overall, here are the results, um, of social media, including total impressions, engagements, and audience growth, um, in these past five months. And that concludes our presentation for today. We're happy to answer any questions.

JAMESON: Thank you. Does anyone have any questions? We have, uh -- we'll be done very shortly. We just have a few items --

T. DAVIS: Ms. -- Ms. -- um, sorry, Madam Chair. Tiffany Davis for the record. Apologies for the, um, interruption. I do see, uh, Ms., uh,

Elsie Lewis has her raise -- hand raise.

JAMESON: She is? Oh.

T. DAVIS: She just was able to join us during the marketing.

JAMESON: Um, very good. Yes? Lavonne?

T. DAVIS: I think you're still muted.

LEWIS: I know, sorry. Uh, I didn't hear an answer to your question regarding the, um, artificial intelligence answering the call from people and whether or not it then rolls over to a real person. I don't know how other people feel, but I hate getting those answers on, um -- you know, when I call to get some information, so if -- does it roll over so that they get a real person? I don't think you ever got an answer to that.

ANDERSON: I will turn that over to Russell to answer. The AI technology is fully implemented by the Get Insured and Exchange team. So our team provided a press release about it, but the Exchange team and Get Insured implemented, so they can discuss the specifics.

COOK: Thank you, Connie. Uh, Russell Cook for the record. And thank you, Ms. Lewis, for the question and the opportunity to clarify. Uh, the -- the -- the AI technology serves as -- as kind of -- almost like a front end or a welcome mat, uh, for the call center. Consumers reach the AI technology, uh, before they -- they have the opportunity to reach a live agent, but the AI, uh, virtual, uh -- virtual agent, virtual assistant as we call it, um, does provide a responsive way for consumers to get transferred to a live agent if they wish. It does gather some basic information from consumers in order to verify their identity and confirm whether or not they are existing, uh, enrollees at Nevada Health Link, or at least have an

existing account through our website, uh, and then that information is
passed off to, uh to the call center, so that results in, you know, some
time savings there. Um, I'm right there with you. I-I really agree about the
frustrating user experience of of certain types of of robotic
technologies. Um, I will say that, in my personal opinion, um, I think that
this technology is is head and shoulders above, uh, past experience that
I've had in recent years, uh, with with other types of, uh, kind of
pseudo-artificial, uh, intelligence technology. It really is conversational in
nature. Um, and it really does, uh, in my opinion, um, provide, uh, you
know, responsive, um, uh, transfer to our live call center agents, uh, for a
variety of of consumer prompts. So, general frustration, obviously, if a
consumer specifically asked to be transferred to a call agent, um, these
will tend to funnel, uh, consumers to two live agents. I will say though, the
quantity of consumers who actually stick it out, uh, with this this virtual,
uh, agent, um, and are able to have their their issues resolved by the
agent, um, is, uh is is not insignificant. So, we are seeing a high
degree of utilization, uh, of this service. And in particular, after business
hours, every single call that the call center receives gets routed to this
virtual agent. It's the only option when live agents aren't available. Wnd
we are consistently processing hundreds uh, at least, of calls each week for
consumers who require assistance with password reset, or they want to
check the status of the documents that they have looked for, verification,
that sort of thing. So, um, and and I'll close by saying that, uh, we do
monitor the performance of this technology closely using post-call surveys.
Not everybody opts into the surveys, but the ones that do generally get

very high marks and, uh, the performance of the AI agent is comparable to live agents generally 90% or higher, on many weeks 95% or higher.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JAMESON: I hope it's not higher than a live agent response. Thank you so much. It sounds like a win-win. Um, so again, uh, we should be wrapping it up shortly. We have a few, uh, possible action items and then, uh, we'll be able to, uh, get some public comment and, uh, adjourn and let you enjoy the rest of your afternoon. The next item is the approval of the semi-annual Fiscal Operation Report, much of which we've actually had, uh -- seen a lot of what's on that report, discuss a lot of it in our meeting so far. So, uh, I would like to, uh, hear a motion. Oh, uh, did you wanna make any comments before we go on to call for a vote? Uh, as the Executive Director, did you wanna make any comments about the approval -- about the semi-annual fiscal report before I asked for the Board? I am assuming, as always, that our board members have reviewed it. And, um, after we make a motion, we can see if there's any discussions and then we could vote. But did, uh, you as a Director -- Director wanna say anything? I will say this much right now. Uh, all -- you and your staff have done an incredible job, uh, in our marketing, uh, everyone in creating a very, uh -a-a very great report for the Governor. So, thank you.

COOK: Thank you, Madam Chair. Uh, Russell Cook for the record. I do not have any comments about the report. I-I feel that it speaks for itself. Um, obviously we've been very busy, uh, so far this year, uh, but I would be more than happy to entertain any questions. Uh, I might have to defer to some of our other, uh, team, uh, members here on the Exchange staff. Uh, but if there are no questions, um, my recommendation would be

1	that we proceed with the motion.
2	JAMESON: Okay. Let me go ahead and ask if we have a motion
3	for approval of the semi-annual Fiscal and Operational Report pursuant the
4	NRS 6951.370(1)(b) to the Governor and Legislature.
5	BRANCH: This is Quincy branch for the record, so moved.
6	JAMESON: Do I hear a second?
7	CLARK: Valerie Clark, second.
8	JAMESON: And, um, are there any questions, comments, or
9	concerns before we call for the vote? Any hands up? Hearing nothing and,
10	uh, no one seeing hands, everybody, uh, in favor, you may say, uh, aye.
11	MULTIPLE: Aye.
12	JAMESON: Any opposed? Any ab-abstaining? The motion is
13	passed unanimously. Next for possible oh, yeah?
14	T. DAVIS: Sorry, Madam Chair. Just for the record, I just
15	wanted to state that, um, at one point we did have six voting members. I
16	think one of our members, Amber Torres I don't see her any longer on,
17	um, the list of attendees. So, I just wanna make a note of that, that
18	currently we do have a quorum still
19	JAMESON: Yes.
20	T. DAVIS: uh, on the five five members. So just so that's
21	on record for those in cases.
22	JAMESON: Excellent, excellent. And thank you, um, Ms. Lewis
23	for joining us and keeping our quorum there. Thank you so much. So, uh,
24	the next item, possible action, proposal of the final language of the

Language Access Plan for implementation related to the NRS 232.00 -- oh,

1 sorry about that, um, uh, 81. And, uh, it's taking in consideration the public comments that we all, uh, heard earlier. And, uh, so, uh, does 2 3 someone wanna make a motion? I apologize again. COOK: Madam Chair, Russell Cook for the record. Uh, I would 4 be more than happy to read a brief introduction that we have prepared to 5 the Language Access Plan as a supplement to the plan itself, but we're 6 more than happy in the interest of time to allow the, uh, Board to proceed 7 with the vote if -- if, uh, so moved. 8 9 JAMESON: I think that you could probably do that paragraph 10 because it's beautiful about how automatically they'll get English and 11 Spanish, but it can also do a hundred other languages or something. You wanna do that? 12 13 COOK: Well, I-I wasn't gonna endeavor to read the, uh -- the 14 Language Access Plan itself, uh, only the, uh -- the introduction to it. Um, 15 and there -- there's a little bit more detailed information about the 16 workshops, uh, before we, uh, wrap up, uh, with, uh, the -- the section 17 type for board consideration and approval. Again, I know it's been a long meeting thus far, uh, and more than happy to proceed with the vote. I just 18 wanted to offer to -- to provide that brief, uh, context for the Board if --19 if, uh, the Board thinks that would be --20 21 JAMESON: Would the board like to hear that? Are we ready to 22 just proceed with, uh -- okay. So, um, uh, do I hear a motion? 23 JOHNSON: Jonathan Johnson, motion to approve. JAMESON: And a second? 24

LEWIS: Second the motion, Lavonne Lewis for the record.

JAMESON: Thank you. So, uh, any questions, concerns? If not, we'll go ahead and take a vote. And everybody, uh, that is in favor of, um, passing this motion, proposed final language of the Language Access Plan for implementation related to the NRS 232.0081, please say aye.

MULTIPLE: Aye.

JAMESON: Hands are all up. Any opposition? Uh, any abstinence? It is unanimously approved by the Board. Uh, possible action, uh, next item, the memo of understanding between the Division of Insurance and the Silver State Insurance Agency. The memo of understanding outlines the responsibility of each agency. I have reviewed it and I assume, again, all of our board members have reviewed it. I was thinking perhaps here, before we take a vote, uh, Scott Kipper might have his input since we have him present and/or any, uh, other input is always welcome.

KIPPER: Thank you, Dr. Jameson. For the record, Scott Kipper. And, uh, I think you've nailed it. This -- this, uh, MOU is pretty straightforward. Uh, it has been revised before. This re -- the revisions in front of you today just more reflect the issues around plan management and the, uh, obligations under statute, uh, uh, for the, uh, Division of Insurance. But certainly I-I really appreciate the opportunity to lay out the, uh, collaborative efforts between the, uh, Exchange staff and leadership and the Division of Insurance. Um, and again, I think the, uh -- the, uh, document speaks for itself. The addition of the, uh, piece around, uh, the tribal, uh, uh, responsibilities and also around defrayals are new, but I do believe that, uh, they really, uh, uh, very much quantify the, uh, uh, work

that -- that both agencies are doing. So with that, Madam Chair, I would, uh, turn it back over to you.

JAMESON: I had one question on the defrayal. Since it won't go to the carrier, and it doesn't go to you, and, uh -- does that mean the state will pay it? I mean, is that how it works?

KIPPER: Well, it -- yeah. I've got one of my experts on the line, but I will take a shot at that. The defrayal, it does go from the state to the carriers, uh, rather, so the Exchange doesn't have the money, the -- the Division doesn't get the money. It does go to the carriers.

JAMESON: Okay. That was my -- I wasn't sure. Thank you so much. Okay. In that case, I think we're ready to proceed, uh, with the, um, vote on the MOU, memo of understanding, between the Division of Insurance and the Silver State Health Insurance Exchange. All those in favor say aye.

MULTIPLE: Aye.

JAMESON: Any opposed? Any abstaining? The motion is passed unanimously. And it was very exciting, as you said, to have also included -- on there, the tribal group, uh, included. And then finally, possible actions. I think our staff has been probably writing down everything about the VSP and the, uh -- a process for, uh, creating a policy for, uh, reviewing people we endorse, um, uh, our AI chat bot, follow up on, uh, how it is our customers are liking this new artificial intelligence, although we had a good glimpse at that from Russell's comments -- final comments. But were there any other items that you board members would like to have follow up next time?

1 JOHNSON: Jonathan Johnson. Um, just as it relates to the 2 public health option, um, and what happens at the end of the month with, 3 uh, the injunction. JAMESON: Excellent, yes. Thank you for remembering that. We 4 5 are so excited to hear about the public health option follow up as well as 6 the VCI cost. WEEKS: Can I ask that I present that to the Board just because 7 I think we can have a conversation? Can you hear that okay? 8 9 JAMESON: Yes. Yes. That would be wonderful. WEEKS: I would appreciate that because that is what I typically 10 11 do for committees that invite us to come present on an update. I cannot speak to the litigation that is still confidential, um, and ongoing. 12 13 JAMESON: Yes, we would love your expertise on this issue. 14 WEEKS: Okay. 15 JAMESON: Thank you so much for volunteering. All right. I 16 think we'll have a very busy agenda for the next time. I will just say this 17 approximate 10 years I've been on the Board has been an absolute pleasure, and I look forward to new adventures in the next legislative 18 19 session because we physicians wanna do a lot of other things to make access to quality healthcare better in this state. So, I can't thank you 20 21 enough for the honor of being a board member and a Chair. And at this 22 point I will just say, wishing you long, and healthy, happy, joyful life. And I 23 hope to run into you here and there, all of you. Thank you so much. The

meeting -- uh, we are first gonna say public comment and then we'll be

24

25

ready to adjourn.

1	1. DAVIS: Madam Chair, Tillany Davis for the record. I am
2	happy to, uh, go ahead and help facilitate the public comment. I would like
3	to make mention that, um just one more brief um, as far as the next
4	meeting date, right now it is set for October 15th at 20 2024 at 1:30
5	unless the Board determines that there is another meeting time before
6	that that they would like to have another one before that date. Um, for
7	public comment, uh, again, for those who have joined us online, if you
8	would like to raise your, uh, electronic hand feature and indicate or
9	indicate in the chat box if you'd like to make a public comment, Kaitlyn
10	Blagen will, uh, call on you and you uh, allow you to unmute yourself.
11	And I'll start with our car uh, Carson City conference room. Kassie, do we
12	have anyone in our physical location there in the conference room that
13	would like to make a public comment?
14	FUENTES: Kassie Fuentes for the record. There are no public
15	comments here in the Carson City office. Thank you.
16	T. DAVIS: Thank you for that verification. And then online,
17	once again, if you would like to provide public comment, you can raise
18	your electronic hand feature on Zoom now and Kaitlyn will call your name
19	and you may unmute yourself for public comment. Do we have any public
20	comment at this time from anyone, uh, who has joined us on Zoom?
21	BLAGEN: Kaitlyn Blagen for the record. I do not see any hands
22	raised or anything in the comment box.
23	T. DAVIS: Okay, wonderful. Thank you for that verification,
24	Kaitlyn. And then on the phone lines, I know a couple of people have called

in. If there anyone who has joined us by calling in that would like to go

1	ahead and make a public comment, you may now unmute yourself and
2	present your public comment. Not hearing anything. Um, just to verify,
3	Kaitlyn, do you hear or see anybody from the phone lines?
4	BLAGEN: Um, no, but it looks like Quincy Branch might have
5	had his, uh, hand raised.
6	T. DAVIS: Yes, Quincy?
7	BRANCH: Okay. No no, I-I did. And again, I just wanted to
8	make sure, and again, more so not a public comment, but just more so a
9	public, just thank you. Um, as a fellow board member, I know my tenure
10	has not been as long as Dr. Jameson, but just really do appreciate her time,
11	her attention, and her diligence and the time I've been on the Board. And I
12	know a lot of things that she's done, people don't see. So, Dr. Jameson,
13	just wanna say thank you again for your time and attention and your
14	talents on this Board. So, appreciate it.
15	JAMESON: Thank you.
16	T. DAVIS: Excellent. Thank you so much, Quincy. And, um, Dr.
17	Jameson, at this time it does not sound like we have any further public
18	comment, but you do have several messages in the chat that are expressing
19	a big thank you to you and your service here at the Exchange.
20	JAMESON: And again once again, thank you all and have a
21	wonderful day. And I will, uh, take a motion for adjournment and second
22	<inaudible> And shall we all adjourn then? Thank you so much, everybody.</inaudible>
23	LEWIS: So, moved.
24	CLARK: Seconded.
25	UNIDENTIFIED: Thanks, everyone.

